

**Provincial Authority Advice Record Form #1: Authority and Summary of Issues**

**Response requested by: January 27, 2026**

**Project: Flipi Gas-Fired Generation – TransAlta Corp.**

CIAR No.: 90120

*The Impact Assessment Agency of Canada (IAAC) encourages comments to be submitted via the **Submit a Comment** feature available on the project’s Canadian Impact Assessment Registry page (CIAR Reference #90120) at <https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/proj/90120?culture=en-CA>. Letters and forms may be uploaded using this feature. If you have any difficulties submitting this way, please contact IAAC using the email provided below for assistance.*

<b>Ministry/Agency:</b> Environment and Protected Areas	
<b>Primary Contact Name:</b> Karen Tomashavsky, Approvals Program Manager, Regulatory Programs Branch	
<b>Email:</b> <a href="mailto:karen.tomashavsky@gov.ab.ca">karen.tomashavsky@gov.ab.ca</a>	<b>Phone:</b> 780-644-8095
<b>Alternate Contact Name:</b> Erika Akkerman, Environmental Assessment Coordinator, Regulatory Programs Branch	
<b>Email:</b> <a href="mailto:Erika.akkerman@gov.ab.ca">Erika.akkerman@gov.ab.ca</a>	<b>Phone:</b> 780-422-9727

**Please complete this form.**

1. Would your ministry/agency participate in the federal impact assessment process for this project? If so, provide the information of the main point of contact.

No
----

2. Will your jurisdiction be undertaking a review and analysis of the project? Would your jurisdiction participate in a cooperative review of the project information?

A request for an environmental assessment determination was received from Kiwetinohk Energy Corp and a no EIA required determination was made on February 2, 2025. The Initial Project Description submitted for TransAlta Corporation, the new owners, is essentially the same.  EPA will not participate in a cooperative review of the project.
--

3. Does your ministry/agency have any advice on matters that should be included in the Summary of Issues or if needed, addressed by the proponent in the Detailed Project Description?

For each issue discussed, provide a concise, plain-language summary that is appropriate for inclusion in the Summary of Issues.

No

4. Does your ministry/agency have any advice on matters to consider for inclusion in the Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines, should an impact assessment be required?

No

5. Do you have any comments about the project in relation to effects within federal jurisdiction?

No

6. Please identify the contact information for your jurisdiction provided to the public for the proposed project. This could be a generic email box or specific to your jurisdiction's role in the project (e.g. permits, authorizations, guidance or funding within your jurisdiction's mandate.)

N/A

7. Based on the Initial Project Description, please confirm what project components and activities you would consider relevant in relation to permits, authorizations or guidance within your jurisdiction's mandate.

Please see the response provided in Question 8.

8. Will your jurisdiction be issuing a permit, authorization or be involved in the aforementioned project in a regulatory manner? If so, indicate below.

*Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act (EPEA)* - The project will require an EPEA approval. The Proponent submitted an application for an Industrial Approval on January 3, 2025, and received a draft approval on July 30, 2025. This approval will remain in draft form until the AUC application is approved.

*Water Act* – A *Water Act* approval may be required depending on the need for water and where the proponent chooses to source the water (existing licenced source of having their own intake and licence).

Alberta Arts, Culture, and Status of Women - *Historical Resources Act (HRA)*. The site selected was found to have no known historical resources and received HRA Approval 4940-24-0092-001 on November 18, 2024.

The proposed project is on private land, therefore the *Public Lands Act* is not applicable.

9. For any permits or authorizations to be issued, please provide a short description of each with specific information on the location or component of the project to which the permit or authorization would be applicable.

Please see the response provided in Question 8.

10. Will there be opportunities for engagement with the public or Indigenous groups as part of the regulatory or review process by your jurisdiction? If so, when is this likely to occur?

EPEA and *Water Act* – Approval activities under both EPEA and the *Water act* have consultation requirement.

11. Will your jurisdiction be providing any participant funding?

No

---

Name of responder

---

Date

*If you have any difficulties, you may contact IAAC via email at [Flipi@iaac-aeic.qc.ca](mailto:Flipi@iaac-aeic.qc.ca).*