

Federal Authority Advice Record (FAAR)

FAAR Response must be submitted by August 6, 2025

Josephburg Condensate Fractionation Project – Keyera Energy Ltd.

Registry File: [89634]

Department/Agency	Indigenous Services Canada – Regional Operations – Alberta Region
Lead Contacts	Greg Bosse, Sr. Environment Officer, Madison Pecoskie, Health Impact Assessment Coordinator
Full Address	Suite 630, 9700 Jasper Ave. Edmonton, AB T5J 4G2
Email	Gregory.bosse@sac-isc.gc.ca , madison.pecoskie@sac-isc.gc.ca
Telephone	780-232-1721
Alternate Contact	ISC – First Nations and Inuit Health Branch: Cristina Tibbitts

1. Will your department or agency exercise a **power, perform a duty or function**, or provide **financial assistance**, related to the project to enable it to be carried out in whole or in part?

As relevant,

- Specify the power, duty or function, or financial assistance, and the likelihood that it will be required to construct the project, based on the Initial Project Description, as either Required, Potential, Likely, Unlikely or Not Required
- Describe any associated Indigenous or public consultation, including timelines
- Describe any associated information requirements (e.g., alternative means assessment, habitat offsetting), and specify those that may be coordinated with the impact assessment process, if an impact assessment is required¹
- Identify any associated project-specific guidance or issues of which the proponent should be aware, or information the proponent should provide.

Not Applicable

2. **Using Table 1**, identify project- and context- specific **key issues**, based on the expertise within your mandate² and the information in your possession, including the Initial Project Description, any exchanges with the proponent or others related to the project and known means to address the effects of the project. For each key issue:

- Specify the key issue (e.g., specific species and location)
- Specify the project component or activity linked to the key issue
- Explain why it's a key issue based on:
 - biophysical effect pathway(s) from the specific project component or activity
 - concern unique to the project or a priority within your mandate
 - the issue being material³ to decision making under the *Impact Assessment Act*
- Identify how the issue could be resolved, including through means other than an impact assessment
- Identify additional information the proponent could provide including to give confidence on how the issue can be addressed through other means.

Jan Triska, Environmental
Management Officer

Name and title of Departmental /
Agency Responder

¹ The Government of Canada has set a target of five years or less to complete federal impact assessments and related permitting processes for federally designated projects and a three-year target for nuclear project reviews.

² Refer to the [Memoranda of Understanding with IAAC](#).

³ An issue is material to decision making if its analysis is anticipated to affect the conclusions on (1) whether adverse effects within federal jurisdiction or direct and incidental adverse effects (collectively adverse federal effects) are likely not significant, or of low, medium or high significance; (2) appropriate mitigation measures for significant adverse federal effects; or (3) justification in the public interest.

Date
July 5, 2025

Table 1: Key Issues to inform the impact assessment process

This table should outline key issues to inform the impact assessment process, including whether an impact assessment is required and, if so, the scope of the assessment and tailoring of the Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines.

Key issues are the major concerns directly related to a project component or activity, the analysis of which is anticipated to be material to decision-making under the *Impact Assessment Act*.

Federal authorities' advice should be guided by the identification and resolution of key issues. If an impact assessment is required, it will be focused on key issues.

Comment ID	a) Key issue	b) Project component or activity	c)(i) Biophysical effect pathway(s)	c)(ii) Concern unique to the project or a priority within your mandate	c)(iii) Material to federal decision-making	d) Means for issue resolution	e) Additional information from the proponent
<p><i>Identify comments by organization and comment number.</i></p> <p><i>e.g.: IAAC-01</i></p>	<p><i>Specify the key issue (e.g., specific species and location).</i></p>	<p><i>Identify the project component or activity linked to the key issue.</i></p> <p><i>Be specific about the nature, scale, novelty and complexity or the component or activity.</i></p>	<p><i>Identify the specific biophysical effect pathway between the project component or activity and the affected environmental or human receptor (including Indigenous Peoples).</i></p>	<p><i>Describe why it's a key issue within the mandate of your department or agency, including in terms of priorities of the federal government and in terms of anticipated likelihood, severity or uncertainty of effects.</i></p> <p><i>Identify if the key issue is common for projects of this nature or in this sector, or whether it's unique to this project due to its complexity, size or novelty; a sensitive or rare receiving environment; and/or proximity of sensitive environmental or human receptors (including Indigenous Peoples).</i></p>	<p><i>Describe why the key issue is material to decision-making as either:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>an adverse effect within federal jurisdiction, or a direct or incidental adverse effect, that may be significant based on available evidence including:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>federal experts' knowledge and experience with past project assessments;</i> ○ <i>presence of sensitive species, habitats or human receptors (including Indigenous Peoples);</i> ○ <i>novel or complex project activities, components or technologies;</i> ○ <i>high uncertainties in effects or in the effectiveness of mitigation measures;</i> ○ <i>unknown or unproven mitigation; or</i> • <i>a factor for the justification in the public interest anticipated to be material to decision-making such as a likely positive effect contributing to sustainability, to Canada's environmental obligations or climate change commitments or in supporting governmental priorities, such as reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples.</i> 	<p><i>Describe how the key issue could be resolved or addressed by:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Any means, including powers, duties, functions, frameworks, policies or guidance that your department or agency has;</i> • <i>Any means, including powers, duties, functions, frameworks, policies or guidance from another jurisdiction, including the province;</i> • <i>Common, proven, well-understood or standard mitigation measures to mitigate the effect or effect pathway(s); or</i> • <i>Commitments made by the proponent (e.g., in the Initial Project Description).</i> 	<p><i>Describe information the proponent can provide, or commitments the proponent can make, in their Response to the Summary of Issues that would provide confidence that the issue can be resolved by existing means.</i></p> <p><i>Consider whether information, studies, analyses or collaborative work with other authorities would be required to address the issue beyond existing means.</i></p>
ISC-FNIHB-01	The potential effect of accidents and malfunctions on Indigenous Peoples' access to emergency and healthcare services.	The construction and operation phases of the project could result in accident and malfunction scenarios.	An accident and/or malfunction could unexpectedly escalate the demand for emergency and healthcare services. This could adversely affect First Nations' access to emergency and healthcare services during the construction and operation phases of the project.	ISC provides healthcare services to First Nations communities. An accident and/or malfunction could adversely affect access to healthcare services for nearby First Nations communities.	The proponent does not describe or provide mitigation measures for the potential accident and malfunction scenarios that could arise as part of the project. Accidents and malfunctions could unexpectedly escalate the demand for emergency and healthcare services. This could adversely affect First Nations' access to healthcare, who are in proximity of the project (e.g., Enoch Cree Nation, Alexander First Nation, Paul First Nation, and Saddle Lake Cree Nation), during both the construction and operation phases of the project.	The proponent should proactively assess the potential impact of the project on emergency and healthcare services and access for First Nations communities in their Emergency Response Plan, that is mentioned in Section 5.4 Health, Social, or Economic Conditions.	The proponent should proactively assess the potential impact of the project on emergency and healthcare services and access for First Nations communities. The proponent should consider mitigation measures for accident and malfunction scenarios, including developing contingency plans, ensuring timely communication, and addressing any unexpected emergencies that may

							strain existing healthcare resources.
ISC-FNIHB-02	Lack of detailed information and data on Indigenous Peoples and communities.		Detailed information and data on Indigenous Peoples and communities is required to understand the potential impact of the project on this population and their social determinants of health. Having detailed information and data will assist the proponent in conducting meaningful engagement and consultation on the project.	ISC is responsible for providing programs and services to Indigenous Peoples to address the environment, health, and socio-economic conditions in their communities. To do so, detailed information and data is necessary for decision-making purposes and designing meaningful operational plans and policies.	<p>The IPD lacks detailed information and data on the identified potentially affected Indigenous communities. The IPD does not include equivalent information and data for Indigenous Peoples and communities, as compared to the general population. Section 3.3 Health, Social, and Economic Context provides information and data on the general population. Where available, the proponent should include information and data on Indigenous Peoples and communities.</p> <p>The proponent should include information and data on population size (e.g., on and off reserve population), health status, distance between all mentioned Indigenous communities and the proposed project location, and, where possible, the proponent should include disaggregated data (e.g., age, gender, level of education, employment by sector, etc.).</p> <p>This information and data will assist the proponent in conducting meaningful engagement and consultation with Indigenous Peoples and communities. In addition, this information is important for potential accident and malfunction scenarios (e.g., population size, location in relation to the project, distance from the project).</p>	The proponent can access relevant information via the First Nation Profiles website.	The proponent should include detailed information and data on Indigenous Peoples and communities in Section 3.3 Health, Social, and Economic Context.

Please insert additional rows as necessary.