
From: Jan Schnell <email address removed>
Sent: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 12:20 AM
To: Nuclear Waste / Déchets Nucléaires (IAAC/AEIC)
Subject: Re: Nuclear waste comments

On Tue, Feb 3, 2026 at 10:48 PM Jan Schnell <email address removed> wrote:

I have several concerns about the proposed nuclear waste disposal site on Ontario.

1)Transportation is.a serious concern.My first responder/rescue friends say there are far more transport collisions than are made public. These are volunteer fire fighters who show up. Are they expected to personally deal with nuclear material?

In the past every carrier of nuclear material had to have a very large sign on the side of the vehicle. That must start now.

2) Assuming the waste is properly transferred, transported, unpacked, and buried, how can you communicate ahead a million years so no miner or builder or water mover won't disturb it. Your proposed 60 years of observation is a a joke.

3)I learned in veterinary training that there is no safe dose of radiation. We would be the first country to bury nuclear fuel. In Washington state it failed. We could. Wait another thousand years and see how Finland succeeds.

4)Radon evidently kills many Canadians a year, usually with lung cancer. More than vehicles, heart attacks, air crashes, homicide all combined. The First Nations recognize the value of the natural world. If leaks of radiation occur, it will destroy the water, the trees, the birds, the wildlife, and humans for a tremendous area. Will it be walled off like Chernobyl.?

5) The people near Ignacio are obviously not the only people at risk.

6)Our government will not be able to guarantee long term safety. Who bears the liability? A very large escrow account should be demanded to correct the theft of a large area of resources.

7)Is this waste safer here than where it is? All over the world nuclear storage hopes for no earthquakes or changes. If the waste is where it can be observed regularly and the unimaginable happens, humans can do something and it has been relatively safe, though Japan's recent issues have affected water, algae, and fish all over the world.

8)Nuclear Power, despite statements from the Greens, is not useful to deal with climate change. Uranium mining and development, and transportation as well as the actual nuclear plant demand lots of energy and water. With wind and solar so cheap today that very few investors today will take a chance on the cost overruns of building new nuclear plants.

9) We do not need heavy investment projects such as this to lure people to believe it will be a source of good well-paying jobs. In this time when there is not much work I believe our government needs to focus only on projects which have clear benefits to every Canadian. Jobs need to be developed in child care, education, health care, safe highways, trains, buses, electric conduction, and agriculture.

10) I have read about nuclear proliferation for many years. It is not safe. People die. Your advertising is bizarre.

Thank you for reading this lengthy comment. Please cease and desist.
Yours with sympathy and love for our country.