
From: Britta Wolfert <email address removed>
Sent: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:20 PM
To: Nuclear Waste / Déchets Nucléaires (IAAC/AEIC)
Cc: Sheehan, Terry - M. P.; Terry.Sheehan.C1A@parl.gc.ca; bill.rosenberg@pc.ola.org; Britta Wolfert
Subject: Comment re: NWMO's Deep Geological Depository Project

Good evening,

My name is Britta Wolfert and I live and drive within the area identified for transport of nuclear waste to Ignace Northern Ontario.

Here are my grave concerns regarding the idea of transporting highly toxic nuclear waste, presently stored near Southern Ontario Reactors, all the way up to pristine Northern Ontario's Indigenous lands and Lake Superior proximity, on 2 lane highways.

~ Transport via trucking on major trans Canada highways is, as everyone knows, extremely risky during the best of weather and traffic conditions, not to mention winter conditions.

~ Northern Ontario's pristine waters, rock formations, bogs, forests and communities are precious.

Southern Ontario needs to find a place near their own reactors to lay to rest the extremely toxic waste they chose to create. Half Life of the toxic, radioactive waste spans hundreds of generations.

~ Pollution created from transporting the waste all the way from Southern to Northern Ontario via several diesel trucks per week, is unacceptable.

~ As well the travel path is unpredictable during the best of times, due to:

- a.) Careless, distracted drivers
 - b.) Free roaming wildlife crossing highways at all times
 - c.) Hazardous weather conditions, especially around the Great Lakes
 - d.) Increasingly poor highway maintenance during winter months
 - e.) Historically high numbers of fatal accidents on Northern 2-lane highways
 - f.) Communities and fire departments along the transportation route must be informed and trained in case of a catastrophic accident. A possibility that is HIGHLY likely.
- Where are the emergency and evacuation plans?

Therefore: It is absolutely unacceptable NOT having transportation included in the safety assessment.

Also: The cost factor for this project is out of reach, to be paid for by us, the people.

Not enough, in-depth information was provided for the following:

~ Long-term safety:

This project affects Northern Ontario Indigenous lands, waters and communities longer than the foreseeable future. Nothing lasts forever. There will be cracks in the walls of the Geological Depository, GUARANTEED.

It will be CATASTROPHIC for Northern Ontario, USA and Southern Ontario freshwater lakes and surrounding communities, when toxic water and air gets released once the facility ages, and at any time.

~ Why have communities near the facility NOT been consulted?

~ What is being done to prevent malevolent acts and terrorist attacks?

~ How will transport and storage be monitored to assure safety at all times?

~ The 'potential effects section' is highly inadequate.

~ Radioactivity and estimates of radioactive releases from various project activities over various time frames and assessing those effects, has been LARGELY IGNORED.

~ What will happen to radioactively contaminated ground water and air once it is released to the surface?

Bottom line, I do not want to see unpredictable, human produced nuclear waste buried on pristine Northern Ontario lands. Period.

The half life of nuclear waste is considerably longer than the 1 month comment period.

Something does not add up....

With due respect, I request that this extremely controversial project will be designated for a FULL REVIEW and PUBLIC HEARINGS.

Kind regards,

Britta Wolfert

*"What you do makes a difference ~ and you have to decide what kind of difference you want to make.
-Dr. Jane Goodall*

