

---

**From:** <email address removed>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 4, 2026 11:19 AM  
**To:** Nuclear Waste / Déchets Nucléaires (IAAC/AEIC)  
**Subject:** Comment on the Impact Assessment of proposal to transport nuclear waste to Revell Lake Ontario

My name is Sara Williamson. I live in Thunder Bay. I have also lived in Elliot Lake and Toronto. The scepter of radioactivity has been present in my life for over 60 years. In Toronto I lived in a house with three young people whose father died from radiation exposure in the Pickering nuclear power plant. In Elliot Lake I met the local Cancer Society who realized that the number of residents who developed cancer was significantly higher than in the rest of Ontario. They actively questioned the uranium mining companies about the safety of their operations. We didn't use the rec room in the basement because radon gas seeps in. In Thunder bay I was relieved that there was strong opposition to disposal of nuclear waste anywhere in the ridings. However in recent years the Nuclear Waste Management Organization has been pushing for a deep geological deposit in Northwestern Ontario to store nuclear waste from Toronto and even New Brunswick. Why isn't transportation of nuclear waste a part of this Impact Assessment? The nuclear waste would have to be trucked along the 401 from the nuclear plants east of there. Then the transports would have to make their way north along the east side of Lake Huron well known for its whiteouts occuring from November onwards. Highway 17 North is part of the Trans Canada Hwy . There are crashes and near misses between transports and between transports and cars regularly. Even trucks bringing lower levels of radio-active material have hazardous waste warning signs. A driver came to a meeting in Thunder Bay to share his concern that even his loads have radiation several metres beyond his vehicle. My point is that transporting nuclear waste is far too dangerous to the communities, watersheds and people along the highway. Store the nuclear waste as near as possible to the source of production. Use energy sources like wind and sun that can be put into production sooner at less expense. Work on safer ways to store nuclear waste. Reduce non-essential use of energy.

Sincerely Sara Williamson <personal information removed>

<personal information removed>