

**Comment Form – Draft Permitting Plan and draft Integrated Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines – Federal Review Team**

**Bruce C Nuclear Project**

All comments should be submitted via the Submit a Comment feature available on the Project’s Canadian Impact Assessment Registry<sup>1</sup> (the Registry). Documents can be uploaded using this feature. If you have any difficulties submitting this way, please contact the Registry team directly at [registry-registre@iaac-aeic.gc.ca](mailto:registry-registre@iaac-aeic.gc.ca). All comments submitted using this form will be posted on the Registry website for the Project.

Please note that this is your opportunity to customize the draft Integrated Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines.

Department/Agency:	Transport Canada		
IA Contact:	Jeremy Craigs	Telephone:	
		Email:	<a href="mailto:Jeremy.craigs@tc.gc.ca">Jeremy.craigs@tc.gc.ca</a>

**Section 1 – Draft Permitting Plan:**

1. Indicate whether your department or agency has identified any power that it will be unable to exercise to allow the Project to proceed, in whole or in part. For more information, please refer to subsection 17(1) of the IAA.

**Insert response here:**

Transport Canada has not identified any power that it will be unable to exercise to allow the Project to proceed, in whole or in part at this time. Transport Canada does not have sufficient information to determine whether or not a CNWA application may be required.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/proj/88771>

## **Section 2 – Draft Integrated Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines:**

1. Please review the draft Integrated Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines (the Integrated Guidelines) sections that are applicable to your department's or agency's mandate.
2. Using the table below, given the context of the Project, please provide any comments and include your recommendation for how the final Integrated Guidelines should be adapted to address your comments.
  - Please indicate any corrections, additions or deletions that should be made to the text including considerations of submissions from Indigenous Nations and communities that are relevant to your departmental expertise. Please provide a clear context and rationale for your recommendations, including how their implementation would help focus the assessment on, and resolve, key issues relevant to federal decision-making.
  - Federal expert advice should be solution oriented and commensurate to the context of the Project. Advice should be informed by risk-based prudence and evidence in the proponent's Initial Project Description,<sup>2</sup> Response to the Summary of Issues<sup>3</sup>, and publicly available information, with a strong reliance on well-understood mitigation measures, existing guidance, and regulatory instruments that will manage effects. Advice should also be informed by a clear understanding of the project, the local biophysical and socio-economic context.
3. Strategic Questions to Inform Advice
  - What knowledge/information does your department have in relation to the key issue? Does your department have any ongoing or upcoming relevant studies/initiatives? What information/action might support mitigating/resolving issues?
  - Do we have a good understanding of the pathways of effects? Which key VCs or pathways of effects are missing? Do we have common ground on what the key issues are?
  - What federal and provincial tools can be leveraged to resolve issues and avoid duplicating efforts? How can we use existing regulatory frameworks to build confidence in predictions and outcomes?

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/document/158463>

<sup>3</sup> <https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/document/160157>

Department – Comment ID (e.g., ECCC-01)	Draft Integrated Guidelines Section (and subsection, if available)	Context and Rationale (provide an explanation of your comments)	Recommendation: provide text to be inserted or deleted. Be specific on the location within the draft Integrated Guidelines that the text would be added/deleted.
TC-01	9.6.2 Effects on navigation	Additional clarification to this section will help ensure that the proponent includes sufficient information to address potential effects of the project on navigation, and to allow Transport Canada to better understand the potential CNWA requirements for the project.	<p>TC recommends the following revision / addition to this section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- identify all in-water works including ancillary project components that will be constructed in, on, under, over, through or across navigable waterways to support the Project, and specify the proposed crossing method;</li> <li>- identify whether a marine exclusion zone is being sought and, if so, the precise location;</li> </ul>