<u>Comment Form - Draft Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines and Draft Cooperation Plan – Provincial</u> <u>Review Team</u>

Great Bear Gold Project

Deadline: June 7, 2024

All comments should be submitted via the Submit a Comment feature available on the Project's Canadian Impact Assessment Registry page (<u>https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/proj/85832?culture=en-CA</u>). Documents can be uploaded using this feature. If you have any difficulties submitting this way, please contact the Registry directly at <u>registry-registre@iaac-aeic.gc.ca</u>. Please note that all comments submitted using this form will be posted on the Canadian Impact Assessment Registry website for the Project.

Ministry:	Ministry of Citizenship and Mu	ulticulturalism (I	MCM)
	Joseph Harvey, Heritage	Telephone:	613-242-1027 (Joseph)
IA Contact:	Planner, Heritage Planning Unit	relephone.	416-660-1027 (Karla)
	Karla Barboza, Team Lead,	Email:	Joseph.harvey@ontario.ca
	Heritage Planning Unit	Lindii.	Karla.barboza@ontario.ca

Please note that this is your opportunity to provide input to the Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines.

Section 1 – Draft Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines:

- 1. Please review the draft Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines (the Guidelines) sections that are applicable to your ministry's mandate.
- 2. Using the table below, given the context of the Project, please provide any comments and include your recommendation for how the final Guidelines should be adapted to address any comments.
 - Please indicate any corrections, additions or deletions that should be made to the text. Please provide a clear context and rationale for your recommendations.
 - Please consider that expert advice should be commensurate to the situational context of the Project and informed by risk-based prudence and evidence in the proponent's Detailed Project Description¹ and Response to the Summary of Issues², with a strong reliance on well-understood mitigation measures, existing guidance, and regulatory instruments that will manage effects.

Ministry – Comment ID (e.g., MNRF-01)	Draft Guidelines Section	Context and Rationale (provide an explanation of your comments)	Recommendation: provide text to be inserted or deleted. Be specific on the location within the draft Guidelines where the text would be added/deleted.
MCM-01	3.2 (Project Location)	Please note that the Project may impact cultural heritage of significance for non- Indigenous, as well as Indigenous, peoples.	 Please replace the last bullet of section 3.2 (Project Location) with the following. physical and cultural heritage or to any structure, site or thing that is of historical, archaeological,

¹ https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/document/155992

² https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/document/153313

Ministry – Comment ID (e.g., MNRF-01)	Draft Guidelines Section	Context and Rationale (provide an explanation of your comments)	Recommendation: provide text to be inserted or deleted. Be specific on the location within the draft Guidelines where the text would be added/deleted.
		It is not clear what the last bullet 'culturally important features of the landscape' means. It should be consistent with the Technical Guidance for Assessing Physical and Cultural Heritage or any Structure, Site or Thing that is of Historical, Archaeological, Paleontological or Architectural Significance under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012.	paleontological or architectural significance to both Indigenous and non- Indigenous peoples.
MCM-02	12.1.1 (Baseline Conditions - Indigenous physical and cultural heritage, and structures, sites or things of significance)	The Project may impact cultural heritage of significance for non- Indigenous peoples. Different types of heritage, structures, sites or things can fall under the authorities of municipal, provincial/ territorial or federal governments and sometimes under several of these authorities. Although the effects within federal jurisdiction are related to Indigenous peoples, baseline conditions should also include non-indigenous communities that may identify areas of cultural heritage value (significance) within the project's location. This section appears not to be consistent with the <i>Technical</i> <i>Guidance for Assessing</i> <i>Physical and Cultural Heritage</i> <i>or any Structure, Site or Thing</i> <i>that is of Historical,</i>	MCM recommends that a section be included to address Physical and Cultural Heritage affiliated with Indigenous and non-Indigenous peoples, either under Social Conditions (section 10) or in a separate section on Physical and Cultural Heritage. MCM recommends adding the following bullets to Section 12.1.1 (Baseline Conditions) to align with the Technical Guidance and clarify what technical studies/ documentation and will be completed: identify VCs which will include an inventory of potential lands and resources and establish their importance as heritage or as a structure, site or thing. This may be assessed through a combination of consultation, desktop-based research and a site survey or inspection. This will be documented in an

Ministry – Comment ID (e.g., MNRF-01)	Draft Guidelines Section	Context and Rationale (provide an explanation of your comments)	Recommendation: provide text to be inserted or deleted. Be specific on the location within the draft Guidelines where the text would be added/deleted.
		Archaeological, Paleontological or Architectural Significance under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012 Technical cultural heritage studies (e.g. cultural heritage reports, archaeological assessments) undertaken for the federal EA process can also be used under the provincial EA process.	 archaeological assessment and Cultural Heritage. Report: Existing Conditions and Preliminary Impact Assessment. An archaeological assessment(s) should be undertaken by an archaeologist licenced under the Ontario Heritage Act (OHA) A Cultural Heritage Report: Existing Conditions and Preliminary Impact Assessment should be undertaken for the entire study area during the planning phase. This study will identify all known or potential built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes, identify potential project impacts to these resources and provide recommendations to avoid or mitigate these impacts.

Ministry – Comment ID (e.g., MNRF-01)	Draft Guidelines Section	Context and Rationale (provide an explanation of your comments)	Recommendation: provide text to be inserted or deleted. Be specific on the location within the draft Guidelines where the text would be added/deleted.
MCM-03	12.1.2 (Effects Indigenous physical and cultural heritage - Indigenous physical and cultural heritage, and structures, sites or things of significance)	The Project may impact cultural heritage of significance for non- Indigenous peoples. Different types of heritage, structure, site or thing can fall under the authorities of municipal, provincial/territorial or federal governments and sometimes under several of these authorities. Effects of any changes to the environment on heritage or any structure, site or thing (other than those with respect to Indigenous peoples) that are directly linked or necessarily incidental to a federal decision shall be assessed. Therefore, the Impact Statement will assess effects of changes to all cultural heritage resources, associated with Indigenous and non-Indigenous communities. The Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines should be consistent with the <i>Technical</i> <i>Guidance for Assessing</i> <i>Physical and Cultural Heritage</i> <i>or any Structure, Site or Thing</i> <i>that is of Historical,</i> <i>Archaeological,</i> <i>Paleontological or</i> <i>Architectural Significance</i> <i>under the Canadian</i> <i>Environmental Assessment</i> <i>Act, 2012</i>	 MCM recommends the following edits: The Impact Statement must: Include a description of the nature and current condition of the heritage of any structure, site or thing; assess potential effects to physical and cultural heritage, and structures, sites or things of historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance to indigenous and non-Indigenous communities, including, but not limited to: () consider the potential cumulative effects () describe the outcomes of engagement and consultation activities with the public and Indigenous communities with concerns about heritage resources in the project area and indicate the participation of the members of these communities in the related studies (e.g., archaeological studies), if applicable

MCM-04	12.2.2 (Effects to current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes - Current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes)	If the project involves lands that are owned or controlled by an Ontario Ministry or Prescribed Public Body (PPB) on behalf of the Crown (O. Reg. 157/10 includes the list of PPBs), note that a Ministry or PPB has responsibilities under the <u>Standards and</u> <u>Guidelines for</u> <u>Conservation of</u> <u>Provincial Heritage</u> <u>Properties</u> (Ontario Heritage Act, Part III.1, sec. 25.2). This section should be expanded to reference compliance with the <u>Ontario Heritage Act</u> , as it governs and regulates the identification, evaluation and management of provincial heritage properties and the conduct of archaeology.		evaluate the potential effects of changes to physical and cultural heritage and to structures, sites, or things of historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance to communities. provide copies of correspondence with provincial or territorial authorities responsible for heritage resources containing their comments on the heritage resource assessment and proposed mitigation measures. describe the results of consultation and engagement activities with the public and Indigenous and non- Indigenous communities having heritage resource concerns in the project area and indicate the participation of the members of these communities in the related studies, if applicable. screen for archaeological potential using the MCM <u>Criteria for Evaluating</u>
		conduct of	0	studies, if applicable. screen for archaeological potential using the MCM

			 should be undertaken by an archaeologist licenced under the Ontario Heritage Act (OHA), who is responsible for submitting the report directly to MCM for review. on lands under provincial ownership or control, work that includes ground disturbing activities should comply with the Ontario Heritage Act, including the Standards and Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties.
MCM-05	12.5 (Mitigation and enhancement measures)	Jurisdiction over heritage is shared among levels of government. Heritage sites may be formally designated as protected sites or may be subject to a blanket system of protection, either by legislation or by policy at the federal, provincial, territorial or municipal level. Information under section 12.5 should also be consistent with the Technical Guidance. Mitigation measures for physical and cultural Heritage or any structure, site or thing that is of Historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance can only be identified by qualified persons and documented in technical cultural	 MCM recommends the following edits to clarify what mitigation measures should be undertaken for potential or known cultural heritage resources: () describe the measures that would mitigate any significant adverse environmental effects on physical and cultural heritage or any structure, site or thing that is of historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance related to Indigenous peoples and non-Indigenous and supported by technical cultural heritage studies; () provide any intervention and communication plans, as applicable, relating to heritage resources and structures, sites, and things of cultural, historical, archaeological, paleontological, or architectural significance, if there is a possibility of discovery during construction or development activities. This plan must include, at a minimum, the person to be

heritage studies (e.g.,	contacted, intervention
archaeological	measures and the conditions
assessment, cultural	that would lead to a shutdown
heritage report).	and resumption of work.
	 provide copies of
	correspondence from the
	provincial or territorial
	heritage resource authorities
	containing their comments on
	the heritage resource
	assessment and proposed
	mitigation measures
	authorities responsible for
	the conservation of cultural
	heritage (i.e., the Ministry of
	Citizenship and
	Multiculturalism).
	Correspondence should
	include comments from
	provincial authorities on due
	diligence under legislative
	and regulatory framework,
	including comments on
	technical cultural heritage
	studies.
	• In the event that project
	activities, include ground
	disturbing activities, an
	archaeological assessment(s)
	by an archaeologist licensed
	under the Ontario Heritage
	Act shall be conducted for the
	affected lands. Archaeological
	assessment(s) shall be
	undertaken during the impact
	assessment process and prior
	to any ground disturbing
	activities. Recommendations
	from the archaeological
	assessment(s) will be
	followed by the proponent.
	 archaeological concerns have
	not been addressed until
	reports have been entered
	into the Ontario Public
	Register of Archaeological
	Reports where those reports
	recommend that; the
	recommenta that, the

• <u>and protection strategy.</u>

Section 2 – Draft Cooperation Plan:

Please review the draft Cooperation Plan sections that are relevant to your ministry, including Appendix 1.
 Please indicate any recommended corrections to the text and confirm whether the preliminary list of relevant provincial regulatory mechanisms in Appendix 1 is accurate.

Section 3 (Approach to cooperation) - Table 1 (Potential opportunities for cooperation on the assessment of effects) should be revised in the following manner:

Responsible entity

- Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Mandate and expertise

- **Conservation of c**ultural heritage **resources, including** archaeological resources, **built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes**.

Regulatory Mechanism

- Ontario Heritage Act – proponents are required to assess impacts supported by technical cultural heritage studies, including archaeological assessments

Potential Opportunities for Cooperation

Information sharing and review of, and comment on, technical cultural heritage studies

Section 4 (Gathering and review of proponent information) - Table 2 (Preliminary list of common factors reviewed by the Agency and participating ministries) should be revised to account for project impacts on cultural heritage of significance for non-Indigenous peoples. We recommend including 'cultural heritage' under the column entitled "Common Areas of Federal & Provincial Review" in the Row labelled "Changes to health, social and economic conditions".

Section 5 (timelines and time management) – Please note that technical cultural heritage studies will be required to support the impact assessment under the Class Environmental Assessment for MNRF Resource Stewardship and Facility Development Projects.

Section 11 (Contact Information) – Please remove the email address and phone number of Gavin Downing. As of June 3, responsibility for this exercise falls to the director of a new Heritage Operations Branch, with that position being vacant currently. Our generic Branch email (heritage@ontario.ca) can be included for the time being. We will advise you once a director is named.

Appendix 1 (Provincial Regulatory Mechanisms) should be revised to reference the <u>Standards and Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties</u> (S&Gs) as the project may impact lands owned or controlled by the Crown in Right of Ontario. The S&Gs apply to property that is owned or controlled by the Crown in right of Ontario or by a public body prescribed under O. Reg. 157/10.