

Item	Document Section	Given Text	MCM Comments
1.	General	n/a	Please ensure that the terminology and framework is aligned with the federal EA process and associated guidance (such as the Technical Guidance for Assessing Physical and Cultural Heritage or any Structure, Site or Thing , and Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada).
2.	General	Ministry name “Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS)”	Effective October 17, 2022, responsibility for administration of the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> and provision of advisory services related to heritage have been transferred from the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) to the Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism (MCM). Responsibility for the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> and associated Provincial functions is now held by MCM. All references to MTCS should be replaced with the new Ministry name.
3.	C7.1 (Site History) p. 34	<p>Site History</p> <p>Indigenous Peoples have been active across northwestern Ontario since prior to the arrival of Europeans. The Project site is located within the traditional territories of Lac Seul First Nation and Wabauskang First Nation. Indigenous knowledge will be conducted by Indigenous Nations to provide further information regarding historic and current land uses.</p> <p>A Stage 1 archaeology study has been prepared for Project site and local vicinity (NAA 2023). There are no known archaeology sites in the area proposed for development. There is a registered archaeology site on the Chukuni River. A Stage 2 archaeology study will be completed for areas of archaeology</p>	<p>This section should be revised to better describe the cultural component of the environment. We recommend the following revisions (see underlined and crossed out text):</p> <p>Site History <u>Physical and Cultural Heritage or any Structure, Site, or Things that are of Historical, Archaeological, Paleontological or Architectural Significance</u></p> <p><u>In Ontario, cultural heritage resources include archaeological resources, built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes”. The terms are defined in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2020. The terms do include the valued components as defined in the federal process.</u></p> <p>Indigenous Peoples have been active across northwestern Ontario since prior to the arrival of Europeans. The Project site is located within the traditional territories of Lac Seul First Nation and Wabauskang First Nation. Indigenous knowledge will be conducted by Indigenous Nations to provide further information regarding historic and current land uses.</p> <p>A Stage 1 archaeology study has been prepared for Project site and local vicinity (NAA 2023). There are no known archaeology sites in the area proposed for development. There is a registered archaeology site on the Chukuni River. A Stage 2 archaeology study will be completed for areas of archaeology potential in the development areas meeting provincial standards.</p> <p>Major waterways in the region have been used as historic travel and trade routes by Indigenous communities and Euro-Canadian travellers. These routes served as the basis for early geological exploration that led to the discovery of the gold in the Red Lake mining district</p>

Item	Document Section	Given Text	MCM Comments
		<p>potential in the development areas meeting provincial standards.</p> <p>Major waterways in the region have been used as historic travel and trade routes by Indigenous communities and Euro-Canadian travellers. These routes served as the basis for early geological exploration that led to the discovery of the gold in the Red Lake mining district in the 1920s. By 1940, most of the Red Lake area had been staked, and several significant mining operations were in place and producing. The Property area was not staked or developed during this early period and is generally seen as a blank area on the early survey maps (NAA 2023).</p> <p>The first exploration work documented by Geology Ontario on the Property dates from 1944. Prior to acquisition by Great Bear Resources Ltd. in 2017, 176 diamond drillholes had been completed as well as geological mapping, airborne and ground-based, geophysical and geochemical surveys. Localized areas were previously stripped to expose bedrock. The Property is currently undergoing a large surface</p>	<p>in the 1920s. By 1940, most of the Red Lake area had been staked, and several significant mining operations were in place and producing. The Property area was not staked or developed during this early period and is generally seen as a blank area on the early survey maps (NAA 2023).</p> <p>The first exploration work documented by Geology Ontario on the Property dates from 1944. Prior to acquisition by Great Bear Resources Ltd. in 2017, 176 diamond drillholes had been completed as well as geological mapping, airborne and ground-based, geophysical and geochemical surveys. Localized areas were previously stripped to expose bedrock. The Property is currently undergoing a large surface exploration program to understand geological potential.</p> <p><u>A Stage 1 archaeological assessment (AA) (under Project Information Form number XX) was undertaken on [Insert date] , by [Insert Consultant] in support of this project. A Stage 1 AA consists of a review of geographic, land use and historical information for the property and the relevant surrounding area. Its purpose is to identify areas of archaeological potential and further archaeological assessment (e.g. Stage 2-4) as necessary. The Stage 1 AA has been entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports. The Stage 1 AA is included in Appendix X.</u></p> <p>There has been no prior production from the Property and there are no historic buildings or facilities on the Project site.</p> <p>[Please include the Stage 1 and MCM letter indicating that the report has been entered into the Register. Then include the outcomes and recommendations of the report, as is in the Executive Summary – just copy and paste, don't summarize]</p> <p>MCM may have additional comments once the Stage 1 AA is entered into the Provincial Register of Archaeological Reports.</p> <p><u>[If it is determined that the study area has low potential for BHR/CHL] The screening checklist, Criteria for Evaluating Potential for Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes, developed by the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (now MCM), was completed as part of the project file (see Appendix x). The study area was determined to have</u></p>

Item	Document Section	Given Text	MCM Comments
		<p>exploration program to understand geological potential.</p> <p>There has been no prior production from the Property and there are no historic buildings or facilities on the Project site.</p>	<p><u>low potential for built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes. Therefore, no technical cultural heritage studies have been undertaken.</u></p> <p>[Please include the completed screening checklist with documentation to support your conclusion for this project]</p> <p><u>[if is determined that the study area has potential for BHR/CHL] A Cultural Heritage Report: Existing Conditions and Preliminary Impact Assessment [date & consultant] was undertaken to assess the area for known (previously recognized) or potential built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes (BHR/CHLs). Based on the report, it was determined that there is # known and potential BHR/CHL within the study area. Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports/ Heritage Impact Assessments (CHER/HIA) were recommended for the # properties that could be directly impacted. All CHER/HIAs were undertaken on [date] by [heritage consultant]. The Cultural Heritage Assessment Report is included in Appendix X.</u></p> <p>See also comments in Provincial Authority Advice Record.</p>
4.	D.3.2 (Provincial) Table D.2 p. 49	n/a	<p>Table D.2 (Provincial Environmental Approvals anticipated to be required) does not address impacts to cultural heritage resources. We recommend including the following additional rows to align with current provincial legislation and terminology.</p> <p>Approval</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MCM Review Letter <p>Agency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism <p>Description/ Facility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Archaeological assessment(s) are required for areas of archaeological potential. Archaeological concerns have not been addressed until reports have been entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports where those reports recommend that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ the archaeological assessment of the project area is complete and ○ all archaeological sites identified by the assessment are either of no further cultural heritage value or interest (as per Section 48(3) of the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i>) or that mitigation of impacts has been accomplished through an avoidance and protection strategy.

Item	Document Section	Given Text	MCM Comments
			<p>Approval</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MCM Review Letter <p>Agency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism <p>Description/ Facility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If there is potential for built heritage resources and/or cultural heritage landscapes (BHR/CHL) within the project area, Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports (CHER) will be undertaken by a qualified person to determine the cultural heritage value or interest of potential BHR/CHL. If a property is determined to be of cultural heritage value or interest and alterations or development is proposed, a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA), prepared by a qualified consultant, will be completed to assess potential project impacts. The HIA will be sent to MCM for review and comment and made it available to local organizations or individuals who have expressed interest in review.
5.	E. (Potential Effects of the Project) Table E.2 p. 56	<p>...</p> <p>Proposed Mitigation (Preliminary)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Archaeological studies have been conducted and no cultural heritage features or artefacts have been identified in locations of proposed development to date (further investigation planned) - This will continue to be reviewed as additional information is gained and the Project's design progresses; mitigation will be completed, if needed - Kinross' chance find procedure and other 	<p>The table row addressing "Physical and cultural heritage" should be revised (See underlined and crossed out text) to include the following mitigations which reflect the Ministry of Citizenship and multiculturalism's current roles and responsibilities.</p> <p>Proposed Mitigation (Preliminary)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Archaeological studies have been conducted and no cultural heritage features or artefacts have been identified in locations of proposed development to date (further investigation planned) — This will continue to be reviewed as additional information is gained and the Project's design progresses; mitigation will be completed, if needed — Kinross' chance find procedure and other measures will be put in place as needed, to identify and protect undetected features or artefacts during construction - <u>Compliance with the recommendations of the Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment.</u> - <u>Should previously undocumented archaeological resources be discovered, they may be a new archaeological site and therefore subject to Section 48(1) of the Ontario Heritage Act. The proponent or person discovering the archaeological resources must cease alteration of the site immediately and engage a licensed consultant archaeologist to carry out an archaeological assessment, in compliance with Section 48(1) of the Ontario Heritage Act.</u>

Item	Document Section	Given Text	MCM Comments
		measures will be put in place as needed, to identify and protect undetected features or artefacts during construction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="863 266 1898 561">- <u>The Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002, S.O. 2002, c.33 requires that any person discovering human remains must cease all activities immediately and notify the police or coroner. If the coroner does not suspect foul play in the disposition of the remains, in accordance with Ontario Regulation 30/11 the coroner shall notify the Registrar, Ontario Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery, which administers provisions of that Act related to burial sites. In situations where human remains are associated with archaeological resources, the Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism should also be notified (at archaeology@ontario.ca) to ensure that the archaeological site is not subject to unlicensed alterations which would be a contravention of the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i>.</u><li data-bbox="863 561 1898 626">- [Insert the recommendations of any technical cultural heritage studies (e.g., Cultural Heritage Reports and Heritage Impact Assessments) undertaken in support of this project].