

## Enclosure 1: Provincial Advice Record – Crawford Nickel Project Impact Statement

Please submit the completed form by **January 24, 2025**, via the Registry.<sup>1</sup>

### Ministry or Organization Contact Information

<b>Submission Date</b>	January 29, 2025
<b>Ministry/Organization</b>	MECP
<b>Lead Contact, Title, Work Unit</b>	Shannon Dennie, Senior Advisor
<b>Email, Phone</b>	
<b>Alternate Contact, Title, Work Unit</b>	
<b>Email, Phone</b>	

---

Please see questions and guidance in Tables 1, 2 and 3 attached.

Shannon Dennie

---

**Name of Ministry / Organization  
Responder**

Senior Advisor

---

**Title of Responder**

January 29, 2025

---

**Date**

---

<sup>1</sup> All comments should be submitted via the *Submit a Comment* feature available on the Project's Canadian Impact Assessment Registry page (Reference 83857). Letters and forms can be uploaded using this feature. If you have any difficulties submitting this way, please contact IAAC at [Crawford@iaac-aeic.gc.ca](mailto:Crawford@iaac-aeic.gc.ca) for assistance.

**Table 1. Views to Inform the Impact Assessment**

Table 1 can be used to provide views for IAAC’s consideration in the analysis of the Project’s federal effects<sup>2,3,4</sup> and preparation of the Impact Assessment Report, considering your ministry’s local knowledge and regulatory expertise. Reviewers should consider project context and are encouraged to provide solution-oriented advice even where potential gaps in information are observed.

Comment ID	Reference to Impact Statement	Views to Inform the Impact Assessment
<p>Please identify comments by ministry and number. e.g.: MNR-01</p>	<p>Identify the specific section of the Impact Statement to which your comment applies.</p>	<p>Provide views and information for IAAC’s consideration in the analysis of adverse federal effects, such as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• whether the information is technically appropriate to support the conclusions presented, and the proposed mitigation measures are suitable to manage effects, considering regional context;</li> <li>• sources of uncertainty in the proponent’s analysis that may substantially weaken conclusions, if any;</li> <li>• suggestions for provincial operational guidance or standards, including other mitigation and monitoring measures, that are well understood to be effective in the region;</li> <li>• relevant provincial legislative frameworks such as licensing, permitting, policies or programs that may provide another means to address adverse effects (describe the environmental outcomes that are typically achieved by the frameworks, how they are achieved, and whether mitigation and monitoring may be required and enforced); and</li> <li>• if your ministry has identified any permit or approval that it may not be able to issue to allow the Project to proceed as currently planned, and next steps for resolution of any issues.</li> </ul>
<p>MECP</p>	<p>Chapter Description of Engagement with Indigenous Peoples</p>	<p>Missing from the list of Indigenous communities that IAAC intends to consult with is Brunswick House First Nation. In 2023, there was an Aboriginal Community Identification Tool (ACIT) developed by the leading Ministry of MINES with input from all provincial authorizing ministries for the Nickel Crawford Project that identified a list of Indigenous communities that needed to be consulted as they held credible rights in the project area that could potentially be impacted by the proposed activities. There was also a second ACIT that was led by the ministry of ENERGY with input from all authorizing ministries to identify a list of Indigenous communities that needed to be consulted with respect to the Transmission Line EA component of the Crawford Nickel Project. That list also identified Brunswick House First Nation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In the summer of 2024, a representative from MINES shared updated information with respect to BHFN with your agency.</li> <li>• In September of 2024, an update from your agency stated: <b>“IAAC continues to engage Indigenous communities not listed on the IEPP but have expressed concerns about potential impacts including Moose Cree First Nation and more recently Brunswick House First Nation. We will provide continued updates if there are any anticipated changes to the IEPP.”</b></li> </ul> <p>The IS should include either a rationale of why Brunswick House First Nation and Moose Cree are not included in the list for the project. Or there should be a chapter that outlines the consultation that was undertaken by the IAAC with the two communities.</p>

Please insert additional rows as necessary.

<sup>2</sup> “Federal effects” for this purpose means adverse effects within federal jurisdiction and adverse effects that are direct or incidental to the exercise of a federal power, duty or function (as defined in section 2 of the *Impact Assessment Act*).

<sup>3</sup> IAAC also invites views on effects related to public interest factors (defined in section 63 of the *Impact Assessment Act*) that may inform decision-making, such as positive effects on local economic conditions that contribute to sustainability.

<sup>4</sup> IAAC also invites views on potential effects to species at risk, and how they are typically managed in the region, to inform IAAC’s obligations under section 79 of the *Species at Risk Act*.