<u>Comment Form - Draft Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines and Cooperation Plan - Provincial Review</u> Team

Deadline: March 3, 2023

Crawford Nickel Project

All comments should be submitted via the Submit a Comment feature available on the Project's Canadian Impact Assessment Registry website (Reference #83857 at https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/proj/83857).

Documents can be uploaded using this feature. If you have any difficulties submitting this way, please contact the registry directly at registry-registre@iaac-aeic.gc.ca. Please note that all comments submitted using this table may be posted on the Project's Canadian Impact Assessment Registry website.

Please note that this will be your final opportunity to provide input to the Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines.

Ministry/Organization:	Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism (MCM)		
IA Contact:	Joseph Harvey, Heritage Planner, Heritage Planning Unit	Telephone:	613-242-3743 (Joseph)
			416-660-1027 (Karla)
	Karla Barboza, Team Lead, Heritage Planning Unit	Email:	Joseph.harvey@ontario.ca
			Karla.barboza@ontario.ca

- 1. Please review the draft Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines (the Guidelines) sections that are applicable to your ministry's mandate.
- 2. Using the table below, given the context of the Project, please provide any comments and include your recommendation for how the final Guidelines should be adapted to address any comments.
 - Please indicate any recommended **additions or deletions** to the text. Please provide clear context and rational for your recommendations.
 - As a reminder, the Guidelines should focus on key issues, effects and factors anticipated to be material and relevant to a public interest decision, and requirements should be clear and specific.

Ministry – Comment ID (e.g., MNRF- 01)	Draft TISG Section	Context and Rationale (provide an explanation of your comments)	Recommendation: provide text to be inserted or deleted. Be specific on the location within the draft Guidelines that the text would be added/deleted.
MCM-01	3.2 (Project Location)	It is not clear whether the last bullet ("culturally important features of the landscape") is applicable to Indigenous and non-Indigenous communities and whether these relate to physical and cultural heritage. MCM recommends revising this bullet.	Please replace the last bullet of section 3.2 (Project Location) with the following, consistent with the Technical Guidance for Assessing Physical and Cultural Heritage or any Structure, Site or Thing that is of Historical, Archaeological, Paleontological or Architectural Significance under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012: • physical and cultural heritage or to any structure, site or thing that is of historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance • culturally important features of the landscape to Indigenous communities
MCM-02	12.1.1 (Indigenous physical and cultural heritage, and structures, sites or things of significance - Baseline conditions)	The Project may impact cultural heritage of significance for non-Indigenous peoples. Different types of heritage, structures, sites or things can fall under the authorities of municipal, provincial/territorial or federal governments and sometimes under several of these authorities. Although the effects within	MCM recommends that a section be included under Social Conditions (section 10) or have a section on Physical and Cultural Heritage that addresses both Indigenous and non-Indigenous peoples. MCM recommends adding the following bullets to Section 12.1.1 Baseline Conditions to clarify what technical studies/documentation and aligned with the Technical Guidance will be completed: • identify VCs which will include an inventory of potential lands

federal jurisdiction are related to Indigenous peoples, baseline conditions should also include non-indigenous communities that may identify areas of cultural heritage value (significance) within the project's location.

It is not clear how this section is aligned with the Technical Guidance for Assessing Physical and Cultural Heritage or any Structure, Site or Thing that is of Historical, Archaeological, Paleontological or Architectural Significance under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012

Technical cultural heritage studies (e.g. cultural heritage assessment reports, archaeological assessments) undertaken for the federal EA process could also be used for the provincial EA process.

- and resources and establishing their importance as heritage or as a structure, site or thing. This may be assessed through a combination of consultation, desk-based research and a site survey or inspection. This will be documented in an archaeological assessment and Cultural Heritage Report: Existing Conditions and Preliminary Impact Assessment.
- An archaeological assessment(s) should be undertaken by an archaeologist licenced under the Ontario Heritage Act (OHA)
- A Cultural Heritage Report:

 Existing Conditions and
 Preliminary Impact

 Assessment should be undertaken for the entire study area during the planning phase. This study will:
 - i. Describe the existing baseline cultural heritage conditions within the study area by identifying all known or potential built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes, including a historical summary of the study area.
 - ii. Identify preliminary potential project-specific impacts on the known and potential built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes that have been identified. The

	1	1	I	
				report should include
				a description of the
				anticipated impact to
				each known or
				potential built
				heritage resource or
				cultural heritage
				landscape that has
				been identified.
				iii. Recommend
				measures to avoid or
				mitigate potential
				negative impacts to
				known or potential
				built heritage
				resources and cultural
				heritage landscapes.
				The proposed
				mitigation measures
				are to inform the next
				steps of project
				planning and design.
MCM-03	12.1.2	The Project may impact	MCM	ecommends the following
IVICIVI-US				econfinences the following
	(Indigenous	on cultural heritage of	edits:	
	physical and	significance for non-		
	cultural	Indigenous peoples.	· ·	pact Statement must:
	heritage, and		0	Include a description of the
	structures, sites	Different types of		nature and current condition
	or	heritage, structure, site		of the heritage of any
	things of	or thing can fall under		structure, site or thing
	significance –	the authorities of	0	assess potential effects to
	Effects	municipal,		physical and cultural heritage,
	Indigenous	provincial/territorial or		and structures, sites or things
	physical	federal governments		of historical, archaeological,
	and cultural	and sometimes under		paleontological or
	heritage	several of these		architectural significance to
		authorities. Effects of		indigenous and non-
		any changes to the		Indigenous communities,
		environment on		including, but not limited to:
		heritage or any		()
		structure, site or thing		· ·
			0	consider the potential
		(other than those with		cumulative effects
		respect to Indigenous	0	() describe the outcomes of
		peoples) that are		engagement and consultation
		directly linked or		activities with the public and
		necessarily incidental		Indigenous communities with
		to a federal decision		concerns about heritage
		(e.g., disturbance to a		resources in the project area

	I	1	
		designated heritage	and indicate the participation
		lighthouse) shall be	of the members of these
		assessed. Therefore, the	communities in the related
		Impact Statement	studies (e.g., archaeological
		will assess effects of	studies), if applicable
		changes to all cultural	
		heritage resources,	
		associated with	
		Indigenous and non-	
		Indigenous	
		communities.	
		The TSIG should align	
		with the <i>Technical</i>	
		Guidance for Assessing	
		Physical and Cultural	
		Heritage or any	
		Structure, Site or Thing	
		that is of Historical,	
		Archaeological,	
		Paleontological or	
		Architectural	
		Significance under the	
		Canadian	
		Environmental	
		Assessment Act, 2012	
MCM-04	12.2.2 (Current	If the project involves	For consistency MCM recommends
	use	lands that are owned	including the following additional
	of lands and	or controlled by an	points:
	resources for	Ontario Ministry or	 evaluate the potential effects
	traditional	Prescribed Public Body	of changes to physical and
	purposes	(PPB) on behalf of the	cultural heritage and to
	- Effects to	Crown (O. Reg. 157/10	structures, sites, or things of
	current	includes the list of	historical, archaeological,
	use of lands and	PPBs), a Ministry or	paleontological or
	resources for	PPB may have	architectural significance to
	traditional	responsibilities under	communities.
	purposes)	the <u>Standards and</u>	 provide copies of
		<u>Guidelines for</u>	correspondence with
		Conservation of	provincial or territorial
		<u>Provincial Heritage</u>	authorities responsible for
		Properties (Ontario	heritage resources containing
		Heritage Act, Part III.1).	their comments on the
			heritage resource assessment
		This section should be	and proposed mitigation
		expanded to indicate	measures;
		compliance with the	 describe the results of
1		Ontario Heritage Act	consultation and engagement

for provincial heritage	activities with the public and
properties and for	Indigenous and non-
archaeological matters.	Indigenous communities
	having heritage resource
	concerns in the project area
	and indicate the participation
	of the members of these
	communities in the related
	studies, if applicable;
	 in the event that project
	activities may disturb the soil,
	on the surface or
	underground, is carried out on
	Crown lands, conduct an
	archaeological potential study
	for the Crown territory
	affected. Archaeological
	resources should be screened
	using the MCM Criteria for
	Evaluating Archaeological
	<u>Potential</u> and <u>Criteria for</u>
	Evaluating Marine
	Archaeological Potential to
	determine if an archaeological
	assessment is needed. MCM
	archaeological sites data are
	available at
	archaeology@ontario.ca. If
	the project area exhibits
	archaeological potential, then
	an archaeological assessment
	should be undertaken by an
	archaeologist licenced under
	the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i>
	(OHA), who is responsible for
	submitting the report directly
	to MCM for review. Based on
	the recommendations of this
	study, field work (visual
	inspection without snow
	cover, archaeological
	inventory, or other) could be
	necessary. Depending on the
	findings, this expertise could
	lead to mitigation measures
	related to the findings
	obtained, which can take the
	form, for example, of

			intensive digs at a given site or a proposal for modification of the anticipated route. Technically and economically feasible measures must be identified that would mitigate any significant adverse environmental effects; on lands under provincial jurisdiction, work that could disturb the soil on the surface or underground should comply with the Ontario Heritage Act, including the Standards and Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties
MCM-05	12.5 (Mitigation and enhancement measures)	Jurisdiction over heritage is shared among levels of government. Heritage sites may be specifically designated as protected sites or may be subject to a blanket system of protection either by legislation or by policy at the federal, provincial, territorial or municipal level. Information under section 12.5 should also be consistent with the Technical Guidance. Mitigation measures for physical and cultural Heritage or any structure, site or thing that is of Historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance can only be identified by qualified persons and documented in	MCM recommends the following edits to clarify what mitigation measures should be undertaken for potential or known cultural heritage resources: • describe the measures that would mitigate any significant adverse environmental effects on physical and cultural heritage or any structure, site or thing that is of historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance related to Indigenous peoples and non-Indigenous and supported by technical cultural heritage studies; () • provide any intervention and communication plans, as applicable, pertaining to heritage resources and structures, sites, and things of cultural, historical, archaeological, paleontological, or architectural significance, if there is a possibility of discovery during construction or development activities. This

technical cultural heritage studies (e.g., archaeological assessment, cultural heritage report).

- plan must include, at a minimum, the person to be contacted, intervention measures and the conditions that would lead to a shutdown and resumption of work;
- provide copies of correspondence from the provincial or territorial heritage resource authorities containing their comments on the heritage resource assessment and proposed mitigation measures authorities responsible for the conservation of cultural heritage. Correspondence should include comments from provincial authorities on due diligence under legislative and regulatory framework, including comments on technical cultural heritage studies.
- In the event that project activities may disturb the soil, on the surface or underground, on federal Crown lands, an archaeological assessment(s) by an archaeologist licensed under the *Ontario Heritage* Act shall be conducted for the affected lands. Archaeological assessment(s) shall be undertaken during the impact assessment process and prior to any ground disturbing activities. Recommendations from the archaeological assessment(s) will be followed by the proponent.

• (...)

Insert as many rows as applicable

We recommend that the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> be include in Table A2 (Ontario Ministry
Regulatory Mechanism References) in
Appendix2: https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90o18

3. Please review the draft Cooperation Plan sections that are relevant to your ministry or organization.

Please indicate any recommended corrections to the text.