

**Enclosure 1: Federal Authority Advice Record: Authority and Summary of Issues**

**Project:** Alexandra Bridge Replacement Project  
**Proponent:** Public Services and Procurement Canada and National Capital Commission  
**CIAR No.:** 83444

**Part A - Summary of Issues, Permitting Plan and Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines**  
**Response due by: April 18, 2022**

All comments should be submitted via the **Submit a Comment** feature available on the Project’s Canadian Impact Assessment Registry page (Reference 83444 at <http://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/proj/83444?culture=en-CA>. Letters and forms can be uploaded using this feature. If you have any difficulties submitting this way, please contact the Agency at *Alexandra@iaac-aeic.gc.ca* for assistance.

Department/Agency: PCH (Dept of Canadian Heritage)	
Primary Contact Details:	
Contact Name: John Ward	Telephone: <Personal information removed>
Address: 1030 Innes Road, Ottawa, ON	Fax:
Email: john.ward@pch.gc.ca	
Alternate Contact Details (if applicable):	
Contact Name: Paul Marcon	Telephone:
Address: 1030 Innes Road, Ottawa, ON	Fax:
Email: paul.marcon@pch.gc.ca	

1. Identify and describe the specialist or expert information or knowledge within your department or agency that would be relevant to the conduct of the impact assessment of the Project, should a federal impact assessment be required.

John Ward, Preservation Development Advisor: Trained as a conservation architect, experienced in advising on the conservation of federal built heritage in Ottawa, Parliament Hill, Judicial Precinct, conservation and relocation of monuments in downtown Ottawa.  
Paul Marcon, Civil Engineer-Scientist: Trained as a civil engineer, experienced in advising on works and vibrations to heritage buildings, conservation and relocation of monuments in downtown Ottawa, moving/packing of heritage artifacts.

2. The proponent has indicated in the Initial Project Description (Section 17.1, Table 17-1) that approvals under the following federal legislation may be required for the Project to proceed:
- Fisheries Act
  - Canadian Navigable Waters Act
  - Species at Risk Act
  - Migratory Birds Convention Act
  - National Capital Act

(a) Validate whether the information relating to your department or agency’s powers, duties, or functions contained in the Initial Project Description is accurate.

List the potential powers, duties, or functions, including federal funding, that your department or agency may issue to enable the Project to proceed. For each, please explain why your department or agency may issue the potential power, duty, or function, and, if applicable, the level of oversight, if any, that the regulatory instrument provides with respect to valued components that are relevant to your mandate.

Not applicable
----------------

(b) Has your department or agency already exercised any of the above-noted power or performed a duty or function to enable the proposed Project to proceed in whole or in part? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please list and describe each.

(c) Please include a description of consultation activities that would occur with Indigenous groups if your department or agency has to exercise a power or perform a duty or function related to the Project, and how potential impacts to Indigenous groups are addressed by your department or agency. Indicate the potential timeline for Indigenous participation, in relation to each applicable authorization, in support of Agency's development of the Permitting Plan and the Indigenous Engagement and Partnership Plan

(d) Please include a description of opportunities for public participation if your department or agency has to exercise a power, or perform a duty or function related to the Project. Indicate the potential timeline for that participation, in relation to each applicable authorization, in support of the Agency's development of the Permitting Plan and the Public Participation Plan.

3. (a) **Using Table 1 of Enclosure 3**, indicate whether the description of potential effects presented in the Initial Project Description sufficiently characterizes potential project effects— including direct and incidental effects, and effects within federal jurisdiction—as related to your mandate . Provide advice on whether these effects may be adverse and whether your regulatory instruments, operational guidance to proponents or other standard practices could be used to address these effects. *Note: Information on effects and direct and incidental effects as well as effects within federal jurisdiction are defined in section 2 of IAA.*<sup>1</sup>
- (b) **Using Table 1 of Enclosure 3**, identify any additional potential adverse effects of the Project that are not described in the Initial Project Description and their linkage to effects, effects within federal jurisdiction, and direct and incidental effects. For each, provide advice on whether your regulatory instruments, operational guidance to proponents or other standard practices could be used to address these effects.

John Ward

X

John Ward  
Preservation Development Advisor

Name of Responder

Signature  
22 April 2022

Preservation Development Advisor  
Title of Responder

Date

<sup>1</sup> A link to *Impact Assessment Act* text can be found here:  
<https://www.canada.ca/en/impact-assessment-agency/corporate/acts-regulations/legislation-regulations.html>