## **Enclosure 1: Comment Form – Information to Inform the Summary of Issues**

Project: Waterloo Airport Runway Project

**Proponent:** Region of Waterloo International Airport

**CIAR No.:** 81452

Response invited by: April16, 2021

All comments should be submitted via the *Submit a Comment* feature available on the Project's Canadian Impact Assessment Registry page (Reference #XXXXX at https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/proj/xxxxx). Letters and forms can be uploaded using this feature.

If you have any difficulties submitting this way, please contact the Agency at IAAC.Waterloo.AEIC@canada.ca for assistance.

1.	Please confirm whether your ministry	or agenc	y would like to	participate in the	federal impact
	assessment process for this Project.	✓ Yes	☐ No		

If yes, please provide contact details for the person(s) who will be working with the Agency.

Ministry/Agency: Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP)				
Primary Contact Details:				
Contact Name: Jenny Archibald	Telephone: 416-262-1221			
Address: 135 St. Clair Avenue West, Toronto, ON M4V 1P5	Fax:			
Email: Jenny. Archibald@ontario.ca				
Alternate Contact Details (if applicable):				
Contact Name: Andrew Evers Telephone: 647-961-4850				
Address: 135 St. Clair Avenue West, Toronto, ON M4V 1P5 Fax:				
Email: Andrew. Evers@ontario.ca				

2. Please identify the contact information for your ministry for the public. This could be a generic email box or specific to your ministry's role on the project (e.g. permits, authorizations, guidance or funding within your ministry's mandate.)

N/A			

3. Will you ministry or agency be undertaking any technical analysis (e.g. effects assessment) related to this Project? Would you be willing to cooperate with the Agency on this analysis?

If required for the project, MECP would review stormwater, industrial sewage works, noise, waste and air approval applications. Please refer to Enclosure 2 for more details.

MECP is willing to cooperate with the Agency in reviews for the Project.

4.	(a) Based on the Initial Project Description, will your ministry be issuing any permits, authorizations or otherwise be involved in the Project in a regulatory manner? ✓ Yes ☐ No				
	<ul> <li>(b) If yes:</li> <li>Please name the permit, authorization or other function that your ministry would provide,</li> <li>Please provide a short description, including regulatory or legislative authority, of each permit authorization or other function (please provide links or attach relevant documents to this form),</li> <li>Please indicate the project component or activity to which the permit or authorization applies,</li> <li>Please indicate, for each permit, authorization or other function, whether your ministry would undertake Indigenous consultation, and if yes, provide a summary overview of the approach, including provision of any participant funding,</li> <li>Please indicate, for each permit, authorization or other function, whether your ministry would undertake public consultation, and if yes, provide a summary overview of the approach, including provision of any participant funding.</li> </ul>				
	Environmental Compliance Approvals (ECAs) under the Ontario Water Resources Act may be required for the following activities: - proposed stormwater works; and, - discharging of construction dewatering water, depending on the quality of the discharge.				
	A Permit to Take Water under the Ontario Water Resources Act may be required for the project, depending on the rate and volume of water takings.				
	ECAs may also be required under the Environmental Protection Act for waste, noise and air.				
	Please refer to Enclosure 2 for more details.				
5.	(a) <b>Using Table 1 of Enclosure 2</b> , indicate whether the description of potential effects presented in the Initial Project Description sufficiently characterizes potential project effects as they relate to your mandate.				
	Provide input on whether these effects may be adverse and whether your regulatory instruments (referred to as powers, duties or functions in the Table 1 of Enclosure 2) could be used to address				

Provide input on whether these effects may be adverse and whether your regulatory instruments (referred to as powers, duties or functions in the Table 1 of Enclosure 2) could be used to address these effects. Note: Information on <a href="effects">effects</a> and <a href="effects">direct and incidental effects</a> as well as <a href="effects">effects within</a> federal jurisdiction are defined in section 2 of IAA. To facilitate your completion of the table, the Agency has prepared <a href="Enclosure 4">Enclosure 4</a> with specific valued components and/or effects identified for

Agency has prepared <u>Enclosure 4</u> with specific valued components and/or effects identifies some provincial ministries. For each potential effect, please consider whether proposed mitigation measures may manage the effect and provide a risk characterization rating, as described in <u>Enclosure 3</u>.

(b) **Using Table 1 of Enclosure 2**, identify any additional potential adverse effects of the Project that are not described in the Initial Project Description.

Waterloo Airport Runway Project CIAR reference number: 81452

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A link to IAA text can be found here: https://www.canada.ca/en/impact-assessment-agency/corporate/acts-regulations/legislation-regulations.html

(a) The Agency understands that the proponent proposes to expand or relocate the airport terminal building as part of the Region of Waterloo International Airport Masterplan. Are you satisfied with the proponent's characterization of the activities related to the airport terminal building, as described in the Initial Project Description?  Please refer to Enclosure 2 for MECP comments.				
(b) Do you have any concerns regarding the proposed expansion and or relocation of the airport terminal building?				
Please refer to Enclosure 2 for MECP comments.				
(c) Can you confirm, whether in identifying potential effects in Table 1 of Enclosure 2, that you did not include any potential effects due to the airport terminal building that were not sufficiently characterized				
by the proponent?  Yes  No	,			
Jenny Archibald	Jenny Archibald [signed electronically]			
Name of Responder	Signature			
A/Special Project Officer	April 16, 2021			
Title of Responder	Date			