

Enclosure 2: Review table for the Waterloo Airport Runway Project - Initial Project Description (IPD)

IPD submitted March 9, 2021 by the Region of Waterloo International Airport (the Proponent)

Please use this document to provide comments on the Waterloo Airport Runway Project (the Project). The document consists of two tables.

Table 1 will enable you to describe potential project effects.¹ The Impact Assessment Agency of Canada (the Agency) requires detailed advice to inform the Summary of Issues provided to the proponent pursuant to subsection 14(1) of the *Impact Assessment Act* (IAA). Please refer to prompts in the table to guide your responses.

Table 2 will facilitate the collection of general or editorial comments.

Table 1: Description of the potential effects of the Project

Comment ID	Document Reference	Valued Component	Project Component	Description of the Potential Effect (Context and Rationale)	Powers, Duties and Functions	Risk Characterization Rating	Instructions to the Proponent	Summary of the Issue
Please identify comments by organization and comment number.	If the comment is related to a specific section of the documentation, please provide a reference (e.g. title, section, subheading, page number). You may also choose to copy the relevant text here.	Identify the valued component(s)— within the mandate of your department, ministry or agency—to which the effect applies. This may include components of the environment, health, social or economic conditions.	If applicable, please indicate the project component that could cause the described effect. If the effect is linked to a power, duty or function, please identify the project component that would be regulated, monitored, or enabled by the power duty or function.	For each effect within your mandate (one effect per row), please provide the context and rationale. In your response, please respond to following points: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe whether the proponent has adequately articulated the effect. Provide rationale. If the proponent’s description is inadequate, please provide a detailed description of the effect, including the effects pathway from the project component to the valued component. Describe whether the proponent has identified and adequately articulated mitigation and/or monitoring measures to address the potential effect. Provide rationale. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> If the proponent has identified mitigation measures, provide your expert opinion of the proposed measures; indicate whether these mitigation measures are well understood and of proven effectiveness. If not, provide advice on how the effect could be managed through well-understood mitigation measures, and identify such measures. Describe whether the proponent has adequately articulated the potential for residual effects after mitigation has been applied. Provide a rationale. If the proponent’s description is inadequate, provide advice on the potential for residual effects. 	Does your department, ministry or agency have powers, duties or functions associated with this effect? If yes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify the act and associated power, duty or function. Indicate whether the exercise of the associated power, duty or function would <u>mitigate, manage or set conditions</u> that would address the effect If applicable, ensure that mechanisms for consultation and engagement related to the power duty or function are included in Enclosure 1. 	Based on the information that you have provided, please characterize the risk by selecting a rating (from [1] to [6]) for the effect (See Enclosure 3 for definitions)	Provide a specific, actionable request for the proponent Where applicable, provide instructions for how the proponent would build confidence in the Detailed Project Description and Response to the Summary of Issues to support or confirm the risk rating selected at left.	Where potential effects have been overlooked or are missing or could be better described and presented by the proponent, provide a concise synopsis for the Summary of Issues. Please, where possible, use simple (lay) language in your summary.
ISC LED - 1	Section 22, page 111 – Changes to Indigenous Health, Social or Economic Conditions	Social and economic conditions	No information was provided regarding potential impact on socioeconomic conditions. The texts indicates that potential effects will be monitored and	Socio-economic conditions for Indigenous peoples were not discussed. However, based on the distance between the 2 closest Indigenous communities to the a proposed project, no effects have been identified. In the event that effects are identified, it is expected that this information will be shared for review.	No	1 – based on the current understanding of the project.	As per the initial project description, ensure that Indigenous communities actively participate in the Stage 2 Archaeological investigation. Continue with engagement and if potential impacts to social or economic condition are identified, a review of the approach to mitigate will be required.	Two indigenous communities are likely to benefit directly from this project, along with one Metis group, however neither the benefits nor the potential negative

¹ *effects* in this context means changes to the environment or to health, social or economic conditions and the positive and negative consequences of these changes.

			reported as they become known.					effects of the project have been described. Direct impact of the project on these communities may not be obvious, but as an integral part of the IAA, they should be described. It is understood that engagement with these groups has occurred and that their interest, specially in the archaeological part has been taken into consideration, but other socio-economic aspects do not seem to have been thought about.

Please insert additional rows as necessary.

Table 2: General and editorial comments - include comments such as formatting, layout or grammar

Comment ID	Document Reference	Context and Background	Instructions to Proponent
<i>Example:</i> TC-01	<i>Example:</i> Initial Project Description Part D, section 17 Pg. 11	<i>Example:</i> The proponent has identified the Navigation Protection Act under the list of federal powers, duties, or function; however, the section appears to be consistent with changes to the legislation introduced in 2019.	<i>Example:</i> In 2019, the Navigation Protection Act was amended and renamed the Canadian Navigable Waters Act please ensure that the correct title is used.

Please insert additional rows as necessary.