

## Attachment 1 – Technical Review of Round 3 Information Request Responses – Lynn Lake Gold Project

### Information Request Responses - Technical Review Optional Feedback Form

**Objective:** Taking into account the information provided in the Round 3 Information Request responses from Alamos Gold Inc., please identify any areas in the responses to the Information Requests that require further information to understand the potential environmental effects of the Project, and the significance of those effects to the components of the environment.

Please provide us with your comments on the Information Request responses by August 17, 2022

IR Number	Context and Rationale	Specific Question / Comment
IAAC-R3-07	<p>In its response to IAAC-R3-07, the Proponent provided clarification by confirming that the more conservative 95% upper confidence limit of the mean (UCLM) approach was used, rather than the mean, for inputs to the Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA).</p> <p>The response addressed the “Air Quality Issue # 3 – non-conservative approach to baseline data used in modeling” identified in Health Canada’s (HC) <i>Departmental Final Views</i>, sent to the Impact Assessment Agency of Canada (the Agency) on June 30, 2022. It also serves to reduce some (but not all) of the uncertainty highlighted in HC’s final comments regarding cumulative effects.</p>	<p>Upon review of the response to IAAC-R3-07, HC is of the opinion that its advice previously provided to the Agency regarding “Key mitigation measures or follow-up” remains relevant and beneficial to the Project.</p> <p>In that advice, HC encouraged collection of dustfall data that are protective of Indigenous receptors, and more generally, collection of the data necessary to validate the metals accumulation in soil predicted for all project phases and cumulative effects assessment.</p>