

From: Sven Eric Andersen [<email address removed>]
Sent: March 2, 2016 2:40 AM
To: Woodfibre LNG / GNL Woodfibre (CEAA/ACEE)
Subject: Woodfibre LNG Comment - Eric Andersen

The Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency report, *Woodfibre LNG Project - Review of Related Upstream Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Estimates*, is the first analysis of upstream greenhouse gases associated with industrial projects under federal environmental review.

The report illustrates many challenges in accurately estimating GHG emissions from upstream stages of the supply chain. The actual carbon intensity of the gas that Woodfibre will use itself could vary widely, depending on the sources of gas.

Apparently, it may be the case that the Woodfibre facility will not itself result in establishment of new gas field production facilities, due to its small size and status as 'market buyer' of feedstock.

We are in an early stage in conducting analysis of greenhouse gas emissions – both upstream and downstream of a facility such as Woodfibre.

The interest and initiatives toward such analysis are focused today on industrial projects. In future, we can and should target, for example, urban development and transportation infrastructure planning in improved greenhouse gas emission impacts analysis.

While the CEAA is a valuable exercise, its results do not convince me the Woodfibre LNG project should be denied environmental certificates to proceed.

I am a longtime Squamish resident active in northern Howe Sound marine ecological recovery projects and regional air quality management plan implementation. I am also an experienced Howe Sound marine tours guide and Squamish Climate Action Network director.

I continue to strongly support the Woodfibre LNG project.

S.E. Andersen
<personal information removed>
BC