

From: Katrin Seibel-Palethorpe [<email address removed>]

Sent: March 2, 2016 2:58 AM

To: Woodfibre LNG / GNL Woodfibre (CEAA/ACEE)

Subject: Strong opposition to WLNG

Dear Honourable Catherine McKenna, Minister of Environment and Climate Change

I am writing to you to show my strong opposition to the WLNG Proposal in Howe Sound.

I am asking you to not grant permission for this project.

<sensitive information removed>

I have spent the last two years educating myself on this proposal.

Believe me, <sensitive information removed> I would have loved to not have to waste so much time on learning about this proposal. But I had no other choice, I am the advocate for my children and their future. They deserve a healthy future so please accept my comment on the analysis of the anticipated GHG emissions associated with the proposed Woodfibre Liquefied Natural Gas [LNG] Project in the Report named 'Woodfibre Liquefied Natural Gas [LNG] Project – Review of Related Upstream GHG Estimates'.

The CEAA GHG Report dated February 1st, publicly available February 9th, for Public Comment until March 1st, 2016:<http://www.ceaa.gc.ca/050/documents/p80060/104688E.pdf>

I oppose the Woodfibre LNG Project in Howe Sound due to increased GHG emissions that will create a significant adverse environmental impact.

Reasons for opposition include:

Canada's COP21 commitment to reduce GHG emissions will be compromised should the Woodfibre LNG project be approved. It must not be approved. Woodfibre LNG will emit about 1 million tonnes of GHGs per year - increasing Canada's overall GHG footprint at a time when GHG reduction is a pivotal commitment for Canada. The Report fails to consider the negative environmental impact of fugitive methane gases in its scope. Recent peer reviewed scientific studies have highlighted the underestimation and the importance of fugitive methane gases in the overall GHG footprint of LNG projects. Not factored into the Report is the increasing GHG footprint and its associated environmental degradation with mining more environmentally challenging Natural Gas resources over time. Current Research identifies that the 100 year GWP [Global Warming Potential] factor used in the Report, is out of date. The responsible measure is the much larger 20 year GWP factor supported by science. Inconsistent with current, and likely future, practice is the assumption of a 75%:25% BC:Alberta proportion of source gas. This underestimates the resulting GHG emissions. The Report suggests that Carbon Capture and Storage [CCS] is imminent. To date, the economics and technologies of CCS have proven a fruitless unsupportable mirage, at ongoing public expense.

Climate change is dangerous. GHG emissions accelerate climate change. Refuse Woodfibre LNG !

Kind regards,

Katrin Seibel <personal information removed >
BC