

**Pulchan65ppppppANNEX 1: Departmental input re conformity information requirements directed to the proponent
Joyce Lake Direct Shipping Iron Ore Project**

Please use the table below to provide your department’s comments and suggestions for information that should be required from the proponent to ensure the EIS conforms to the EIS Guidelines. Please keep in mind the focused questions provided in the cover letter as to what is required during a **conformity** review; your input on whether the information is scientifically and technically accurate will be sought later during the **technical** review.

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info
ECCC-01-CWS-01	Part 2, Section 8 – Alternative Means of Carrying Out the Project	Section 2.8 – Alternative Means of Carrying out the Project (pages 2-43 to 2-57)	<p>The EIS Guidelines (pages 13) state that the proponent will “<i>identify and consider the effects of alternatives means of carrying out the project that are technically and economically feasible</i>”.</p> <p>The proponent has not included project lighting design in the alternatives assessment, but it is an important project component to consider given the potential for lighting design to have an adverse effect on migratory birds and species at risk.</p> <p>Note – the EIS Guidelines were developed in 2013 and are outdated. It is now standard practice for ECCC to request “project lighting design” as a standard component of a Project that requires an alternatives assessment.</p>	<p>Provide information on the specifications of the Project’s lighting design, as well as assessment of potential alternatives to reduce adverse impacts on migratory birds and species at risk.</p> <p>ECCC has provided standard advice in ECCC-14-CWS-04 in Annex 2 for the proponent’s consideration.</p>	This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplementary information.
ECCC-02-CWS-02	Part 2, Section 9.1.2 – Biophysical Environment	Section 16.5.1 – Information Sources (page 16-8)	Quote from EIS (page 16-2) “ <i>Information used to determine the known or likely presence of birds and wildlife in or near the PDA was derived from reviews of local</i>	Provide a clear summary of the baseline information gathered from other sources (such as those included in the quoted statements in the	This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplementary information or, at minimum, clearer referencing of

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info
			<p><i>historical records and other baseline data sources including:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Field data collected as part of the environmental baseline program for the Project in 2012...</i> • <i>Published and unpublished literature including peer-reviewed academic journals, research project reports, government publications.</i> • <i>Government and non-government sources, including ACCDC, NLDDFA, Birds Canada's "Nature Counts" web portal (e.g. Breeding Bird Survey (BBS) data, eBird data), the Québec Breeding Bird Atlas 2010-2014 (les oiseaux nicheurs du Québec: atlas des oiseaux migrants du Québec meridional), and local naturalists."</i> <p>Quote from EIS (page 16-18) <i>"Information used to determine the known or likely presence of wildlife species in the RSA was derived from a variety of baseline data sources, including traditional knowledge, reviews of literature and other information sources, avian field surveys, conducted in 2012, incidental observations during field surveys and an ELC habitat analysis."</i></p>	<p>previous column), or at minimum, clear reference to the sources used throughout the EIS.</p>	<p>information sources throughout the EIS.</p>

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info
			<p>Although referenced in the statements above, the proponent has not provided a clear summary of the baseline migratory bird information gathered from existing sources. The information included in Appendix X (Avifauna Baseline Study) appears to include the results of the 2012 survey only and no other information from existing sources.</p> <p>It is not clear to ECCC where the other baseline information (from existing sources) has been included as references are not included throughout the EIS.</p>		
ECCC-03-CWS-03	Part 2, Section 9.1.2 – Biophysical Environment	<p>Section 16.5.2.1 – Bird Surveys (pages 16-19 to 16-20)</p> <p>Section 16.5.3.3 – Baseline Conditions – Birds (pages 16-20 to 16-28)</p>	<p>The EIS Guidelines (page 23-24) state that the proponent is expected to provide <i>“preliminary data from existing sources on year-round migratory bird use of the area (e.g. winter, spring migration, breeding season, fall migration...existing data will be supplemented by surveys, where necessary”</i>.</p> <p>The EIS does not include baseline information related to spring and fall migration of migratory birds and species at risk in the area (from existing sources nor survey information). It is not possible to evaluate the potential effects of the Project on migratory birds, including bird species at</p>	Provide comprehensive spring and fall migration information using existing sources and supplemented by surveys, where necessary.	This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplementary information.

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info
			risk or of conservation concern, based on the information provided.		
ECCC-04-EE-01	7.1.2 Effects of potential accidents or malfunctions	21.8.2 train derailment	<p>The EIS guidelines states <i>“The geographical and temporal boundaries for the assessment of malfunctions and accidents may be different than those in the scope of factors for each VC. This will include an identification of the magnitude of an accident and/or malfunction, including the quantity, mechanism, rate, form and characteristics of the contaminants and other materials likely to be released into the environment during the accident and malfunction events.”</i></p> <p>The proponent identified as a worst-case scenario, 576 000 L of diesel could be released. However, the characteristics of diesel may have been omitted. Diesel is classified as a class 3, flammable liquid, during rail transportation. Consequently, a worse case scenario would involve a large fire following a large spill of diesel.</p>	Identify the magnitude of a diesel spill following a train derailment, including the quantity, mechanism, rate, form and characteristics of diesel released into the environment during a derailment.	This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplementary information.
ECCC-05-EE-02	7.1.2 Effects of potential accidents or malfunctions	22.8 Accidents and Malfunctions	The EIS guidelines states “The EIS will also describe the safeguards that have been established to protect against such occurrences and the contingency/emergency response procedures in place if accidents and/or	Describe safeguards that will be established to protect against settling ponds overflow such as monitoring systems or diversion channels in the event of an overflow in	This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplementary information.

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info
			<p>malfunctions do occur. Detailed contingency and response plans will be presented.”</p> <p>The proponent described the mitigation measures that will be established for a train derailment forest fires and hydrocarbon spills but there was no section dedicated to the potential settling/sedimentation overflow. This was identified as a potential accidents and malfunction scenario in chapter 22.8.</p>	<p>order to reduce the risk of adverse effects to valued component.</p>	
ECCC-06-EE-03	7.1.2 Effects of potential accidents or malfunctions	21.8 Accidents and malfunctions	<p>The EIS guidelines states “<i>The proponent will identify the probability of potential accidents and malfunctions related to the project, including an explanation of how those events were identified, potential consequences (including the environmental effects), the plausible worst case scenarios and the effects of these scenarios.</i>”</p> <p>The proponent identified in Chapter 2 that the project site will include an explosive magazine storage. Due to the presence of ammonium nitrate prills and ANFO, there is a chance that an uncontrolled explosion could occur. This was not included or identified in the accidents and malfunction section.</p>	<p>ECCC encourages the proponent to identify the probability and consequences associated to an explosion of ANFO.</p> <p>The EIS will also describe the safeguards that have been established to protect against such occurrences and the contingency/emergency response procedures in place if an uncontrolled explosion were to occur.</p>	<p>This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplementary information.</p>

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info
ECCC-07-ES-01	9.1.2	11.5.3, page 11-76	The water and sediment baseline study (GENIVAR 2013) was not available for review. This is critical to the understanding of the baseline water and sediment conditions and the assessment of effects in water sediment.	Provide the GENIVAR 2013 report.	This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplemental information
ECCC-08-ES-02	4.18.4.2	11.6.2.1, page 11-144	In reference to the assessment of mixing zone boundaries, the report states that <i>“these results are preliminary and detailed studies such as Attikamagen Lake circulation patterns, bathymetry data for sediment ponds SP1 and SP2 discharge locations, temperature profiles are needed to improve the mixing zone predictions.”</i> As the conclusions of this mixing zone assessment indicates mixing zone boundaries that are well into Attikamagen Lake, these detailed studies as well as a full explanation of how the CORMIX model is applied are required now to fully assess the environmental risks from this project to the water quality of Attikamagen Lake.	Provide detailed studies to support mixing zone assumptions as well as a full explanation of how the CORMIX model is applied.	This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplemental information
ECCC-09-ES-03	4.18.4.2	11.6.2, page 11-106	The report states that <i>“At other iron ore mining operations in the Labrador City, Wabush, NL and Fermont, Québec area, the red water condition is associated with tailings effluent and is not an issue associated with waste rock or open pit runoff. The red water condition is not associated with ARD and is associated with</i>	Provide a more rigorous scientific discussion on the potential for red water.	This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplemental information

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info
			<p><i>very fine colloidal reddish iron mineral or iron stained quartz / silica particles in suspension. As a result, red water is not considered to be a potential concern at the open pit mine.”</i> While understanding some of the anecdotal evidence from nearby operations is useful, a more rigorous scientific discussion on the potential for red water is required to assess the potential risk for this project.</p>		
ECCC-10-ES-04	9.1.2	11-5	<p>In addition to available regional historical datasets from 2006 (lake sediment and water survey) and 2007-9 (Canada – Newfoundland WQMA), it appears that additional project specific water and sediment sampling was conducted in 2012-13 by Stantec and WSP. It is not clear if the project specific baseline water and sediment sample dataset is adequate to characterize baseline conditions. In general, recent data is expected across multiple years and seasons to characterize baseline conditions with enough data points to have statistical confidence when compared to future monitoring data. As the project specific baseline data supported by historical regional datasets forms the basis for water quality modelling (and subsequent assessment of risks to water quality), it is important to verify that the baseline data presented in historical and</p>	<p>Verify that the baseline data presented in historical and project specific water and sediment sampling is adequate for this purpose and representative of current baseline conditions.</p>	<p>This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplemental information</p>

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info
			<p>project specific water and sediment sampling is adequate for this purpose and representative of current baseline conditions.</p>		
ECCC-11-MS-01	<p>3.2 Study strategy and methodology, 7.1.3 Effects of the environment on the project, 9.1.2 Biophysical environment</p>	<p>Ch 6: Effects of the Environment on the Project; Ch 10: Atmospheric Environment and Climate; Ch. 11: Water Resources.</p> <p>Specifically: 6.3 Environmental Effects Analysis and Mitigation, 6.3.1.1 Existing Conditions; 10.5 Existing Environment, 10.5.2.1 Climate, 10.5.3.1-10.5.3.3 (Climate, Temperature, Precipitation); 11.5.2 Methodology for Characterization of Baseline Conditions; 11.5.3 Baseline Conditions; 11.5.3.3 Environmental Water Balance (Tables 11.22-11.24); 11.5.3.4 Surface Water Supply;</p>	<p>The EIS relied on older climate normals (1971-2000) for Schefferville A and older climate data (1948-2012, generally). Normals for 1981-2010 are not available for Schefferville, and normals for 1991-2020 have not yet been calculated.</p> <p>Given observed trends particularly in temperature, the older normals and climate data may not fully represent current conditions. This is important because temperature and precipitation are required for defining the hydrologic conditions. Temperature and precipitation significantly affect basin runoff and streamflows. This could have implications for the water balance models and results derived from those models for the climate normal year.</p> <p>Table 10.11 lists appropriate stations and recent dates as available up to 2021. However Tables 10.13 – 10.17 present either Schefferville A (climate ID 7117825) data from 1948-2010 or normals from 1971—2000.</p> <p>The temperature, rain, snow, and precipitation 1971-2000 normals are based</p>	<p>Use of older climate normals and data should be identified as a cause of increased uncertainty in model results.</p> <p>Lack of snowfall data past 1993 and reduced completeness of precipitation data after 1993 should be identified as knowledge gaps.</p> <p>Consideration should be given to producing updated statistics, at least for temperatures.</p> <p>Calculations should follow data completeness rules such as described for the 1981-2020 climate normals here: https://climate.weather.gc.ca/doc/Canadian_Climate_Normals_1981_2010_Calculation_Information.pdf.</p> <p>Note: Use of MSC stations Schefferville (climate ID 7117827) and Schefferville</p>	<p>This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplemental information</p>

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info
			<p>on 23 years of data from 1971 to 1993 only (the station with a human observer was replaced by an automatic station at end of Sept 1993). (Wind normals were based on the full 30 years, 1971-2000).</p> <p>Chapter 11 Water Resources, which relies heavily on climate data, including selection of wet and dry years, did not appear to use any climate data after 2012 (Table 11.5 lists stations and dates) (with the possible exception of the NL/MUN IDF results from 2015).</p> <p>Climate data (temperature, total precipitation, winds) continue to the present day from automatic stations, so updated averages based on joining station data would be possible. However the precipitation data may suffer from gaps, reduced QA/QC, undercatch due to wind especially in snow, and maintenance delays.</p> <p>Increasing temperatures from 1948 to 2018, have been documented, including in the Schefferville Area (Vincent et al. 2020), and when compared to the 1961-1990 climate normals (seasonal and annual Climate Trends and Variations Bulletins online: https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/climate-</p>	<p>Cote-Nord (climate ID 7117824), are preferred over the NC-AWOS, Schefferville A (climate ID 7117823) for precipitation when possible, since the NC-AWOS use a heated tipping bucket rain gauge that does not work well in freezing temperatures. ECCC-MSC Climate Services Atlantic or Quebec could provide station maintenance information.</p> <p>Note: The total precipitation data from the various automated stations since 1993 may suffer from gaps and quality problems, so they do need to be reviewed carefully, if used, to make sure that only monthly totals based on complete data from functioning gauges are used. An example of this is the data from 1997, used in the EIS as the representative dry year from the 1948 to 2010 period, with annual total precipitation of 521 mm (p.11-38). That might be underestimated: there were some months with</p>	

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info																				
			<p>change/science-research-data/climate-trends-variability/trends-variations.html).</p> <p>There have also been decreasing trends in snow cover (ECCC 2020).</p> <p>Wabush Lake A shows decadal changes in annual temperature (increases), snowfall (decreases) and total precipitation (decreases), based on the past 3 climate normal periods:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1034 691 1534 1068"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Avg Te mp. (°C)</th> <th>Rainf all (mm)</th> <th>Snowf all (cm)</th> <th>Tot. Precip (mm)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1961-1991</td> <td>-3.6</td> <td>476.0</td> <td>455.0</td> <td>880.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1971-2000</td> <td>-3.5</td> <td>482.6</td> <td>445.7</td> <td>851.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1981-2010</td> <td>-3.1</td> <td>502.9</td> <td>428.7</td> <td>839.5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>References:</p> <p>Vincent, L., M. Hartwell & X. Wang (2020): A Third Generation of Homogenized Temperature for Trend Analysis and Monitoring Changes in Canada’s Climate, Atmosphere-Ocean, DOI: 10.1080/07055900.2020.1765728. To link to this article:</p>		Avg Te mp. (°C)	Rainf all (mm)	Snowf all (cm)	Tot. Precip (mm)	1961-1991	-3.6	476.0	455.0	880.6	1971-2000	-3.5	482.6	445.7	851.6	1981-2010	-3.1	502.9	428.7	839.5	<p>missing data and some winter data when the gauge appeared not to be functioning properly. However there were earlier dry years with similar values for the annual total precipitation, including the year with the lowest total based on complete data, in 1962, with 518.7 mm.</p> <p>[Note correction may be needed: temperature values in Table 10.13 and Table 11.13 may not be from 1948-2010 as described - they are identical to the 1971-2000 normals values in Table 10.14 (monthly means, and monthly extremes of daily max and min temperatures based on 1948 to 1993).]</p>	
	Avg Te mp. (°C)	Rainf all (mm)	Snowf all (cm)	Tot. Precip (mm)																					
1961-1991	-3.6	476.0	455.0	880.6																					
1971-2000	-3.5	482.6	445.7	851.6																					
1981-2010	-3.1	502.9	428.7	839.5																					

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info
			<p>https://doi.org/10.1080/07055900.2020.1765728</p> <p>Environment and Climate Change Canada (2020): Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators: Snow cover. Available at: www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/environmental-indicators/snow-cover.html</p>		
ECCC-12-MS-02	7.1.3 Effects of the environment on the project, 9.1.2 Biophysical environment	Ch 6: Effects of the Environment on the Project, 6.3 Environmental Effects Analysis and Mitigation, 6.3.1.1 Existing Environment, 6.3.1.2 Effects Analysis and Mitigation, 6.3.4 Hydrological Factors, Section 11.5.3	Drought was described briefly (based on the AAFC Canadian Drought Monitor 2002-2021) but there was little quantitative information or little discussion on impacts based on existing conditions on the project.	Expand description of drought frequency based on past 30 years; expand description of impacts of drought and dry spells on project.	This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplemental information.
ECCC-13-MS-03	7.1.3 Effects of the environment on the project, 9.1.2 Biophysical environment	6.3 Environmental Effects Analysis and Mitigation, 6.3.1.1 Existing Conditions; 10.5 Existing Environment, 10.5.2.1 Climate, 10.5.3.4 Wind, Table 10-13; 11.6.2.1 Potential Environmental	The EIS uses 1971-2000 normals for Schefferville A. Wind averages are based on the full 30 years to 2000, with the monthly maximum of the sustained wind speed reported hourly based on 1953-2002. There are 2 more decades of wind data through to the present. Averages based on the most recent 30 year period (and extremes that include the most recent decades) may be	ECCC recommends calculating updated wind averages using the most recent 30-years (1991-2020) for the averages and the full period of record for the monthly maximum of the sustained winds reported hourly.	This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplemental information.

ID	Reference to EIS Guidelines	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Specific Conformity Information Requirement	Revised EIS or Supplementary Info
		Effects (wind-wave effects on the causeway across Iron Arm, Table 11.13, 11.37 Wave Assessment Summary)	more representative of the existing climate. Estimates of wind statistics affect the wave assessment and design for the causeway across Iron Arm.	As noted above, calculations should follow data completeness rules such as described for the 1981-2020 climate normals here: https://climate.weather.gc.ca/doc/Canadian_Climate_Normals_1981_2010_Calculation_Information.pdf .	
ECCC-14-MS-04	7.1.3 Effects of the environment on the project, 9.1.2 Biophysical environment	Ch 6: Effects of the Environment on the Project, 6.3 Environmental Effects Analysis and Mitigation, 6.3.1.1 Existing Environment; Ch 10: Atmospheric Environment and Climate, 10.5 Climate, 10.8 Accidents and Malfunctions, 10.8.3 Forest Fire	Lighting from thunderstorms could have effects on the project, and is a risk factor for forest fires.	Enhance information about lightning risk. Make use of Canadian Lightning climatology (Kochtubajda and Burrows, 2020). B. Kochtubajda & W.R. Burrows (2020): Cloud-to-Ground Lightning in Canada: 20 Years of CLDN Data, Atmosphere-Ocean, 58:5, 316-332, DOI: 10.1080/07055900.2020.1845117	This information request can be addressed through the provision of supplemental information.

**ANNEX 2: Departmental advice to the proponent
Joyce Lake Direct Shipping Iron Ore Project**

Please use the table below to provide any additional advice to the proponent not needed for conformity, such as guidance or standard advice related to your departmental mandate. This information will be passed along to the proponent, but no responses will be required from the proponent.

ID	Reference to EIS	Context and Rationale	Advice to the Proponent
ECCC-15-CWS-04		<p>This is standard advice provided to proponents regarding lighting design and mitigations to reduce potential impacts to migratory birds and species at risk.</p>	<p>Attraction to lights at night or in poor visibility conditions during the day may result in collision with lit structures, or with other migratory birds. Disoriented migratory birds are prone to circling light sources and may deplete their energy reserve and either die of exhaustion or be forced to land where they are at risk of depredation.</p> <p>To reduce the risk of disturbance or harm to migratory birds related to human-induced light, ECCC-CWS recommends implementation of the following beneficial management practices:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use the minimum amount of pilot, warning and obstruction lighting needed on tall structures. Warning lights should flash and completely turn off between flashes. • Use the fewest number of site-illuminating lights possible in the project area. Only use strobe lights at night, at the lowest intensity and the smallest number of flashes per minute allowable by Transport Canada. • Reduce lighting levels during severe weather events that may force migratory birds to land to prevent birds from landing in areas that would cause injury, harm, or death. • Avoid or restrict the time of operation of exterior decorative lights such as spotlights and floodlights whose function is to highlight features of buildings or to illuminate an entire building. These lights, especially on humid, foggy or rainy nights, can draw birds from far away. Turn off these lights during the migratory season when the risk to birds is highest and during periods when birds are dispersing from their nests or colonies. • Shield safety lighting so that the illumination shines down. Only install safety lighting where it is needed, without compromising safety.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shield street and parking lot lighting so that little escapes into the sky, and it falls where it is required. Consider using LED lighting fixtures as they are generally less prone to light trespass. The proponent should make all reasonable attempts to limit construction activities to the day and avoid illuminating the habitat adjacent to the worksite.
ECCC-16-CWS-05		This is standard advice provided to proponents regarding the development of Wildlife Response Plans.	<p>All emergency incidents can potentially affect wildlife. During these incidents, ECCC acts as a Resource Agency, which sets wildlife emergency response standards and guidelines related to Migratory Birds and Species at Risk under its jurisdiction. As such, Wildlife Response requires a Wildlife Emergency Response Plan (WRP), which is a component of the Incident Command System (ICS) for pollution incidents affecting wildlife, and should address all of the various procedures and strategies required to mount an effective wildlife response. At minimum, a WRP must include the following information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Information on the wildlife potentially at risk in the area; Mitigation measure to deter non-affected areas; Mitigation and response measures to be undertaken if wildlife and/or sensitive habitats become contaminated by the incident (including treatment of oil-affected wildlife), and The type and extent of wildlife monitoring that would be conducted during and following a pollution incident. <p>The proponent is recommended to consult ECCC when developing Wildlife Emergency Response Plans (WRPs). ECCC is available to review WRPs prior to their implementation.</p> <p>Even during an emergency situation, it is also important to note that permits issued by ECCC may be required prior to deterring or relocating Migratory Birds and/or Species at Risk.</p>
ECCC-17-MS-05	Ch 6: Effects of the Environment on the Project, 6.3 Environmental Effects	Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) extreme rainfall estimates are used for design of water management structures. Long years of	Recommend checking short duration IDF extreme rainfall analysis results produced by the Engineering Climate Service Unit of ECCC-MS as these are updated periodically. Time permitting they may also be able to do custom

	<p>Analysis and Mitigation, 6.3.1.1 Existing Environment;</p> <p>Ch 10:</p> <p>Atmospheric Environment and Climate, 10.5 Climate, 10.8 Accidents and Malfunctions;</p> <p>Ch. 11: Water Resources, 11.5.2.2 Data Analysis</p>	<p>records are important to represent the full range of inter-annual variability and to reduce the uncertainty of the estimates.</p>	<p>updates by request as new data becomes available. The current version 3.2 released March 26, 2021, includes results for Schefferville based on data from 1965 to 1992 + 2 additional years, 2014 and 2017. The 24-hr 100 year return period value is 86.4 mm, similar to the value presented based on the NL/MUN analysis (81.7 mm, Table 6.2), or the 85.0 mm (Table 11.13).</p> <p>https://climatedata.ca/site/assets/themes/climate-data-ca/resources/app/idf/idf_v-3.20_2021_03_26_711_QC_7117827_SCHEFFERVILLE.txt</p>
ECCC-18-MS-06	<p>Ch 6: Effects of the Environment on the Project, 6.3 Environmental Effects Analysis and Mitigation, 6.3.1.1 Existing Environment;</p> <p>Ch 10:</p> <p>Atmospheric Environment and Climate, 10.5 Climate, 10.8 Accidents and Malfunctions;</p> <p>Ch. 11: Water Resources, 11.5.2.2 Data Analysis (including p.11-25), 11.5.3 Baseline Conditions, 11.5.3.1 Climate</p>	<p>Long-duration (1-30 day) IDF results are produced by ECCC. Slow moving synoptic scale events can produce high rainfall totals over durations of 2 to 3 days and can be significant for operations with large water management requirements.</p> <p>In addition, the long-duration IDF analysis includes estimates of the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) for 1 to 30-day durations. The 1-day PMP based on total precipitation was 192.8 mm, compared to the 142 mm presented in the EIS (11.5.3.1, p. 11-38).</p>	<p>Recommend using, as applicable, long-duration (one to 30 day duration) extreme rainfall analysis results, produced by ECCC-MS's Engineering Climate Services Unit (ECSU). The 1-30 day IDF results are available online, here: https://climate.weather.gc.ca/prods_servs/engineering_e.html, with link to Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) Files, then to folder 1-30_Day_IDF/1-30_jour_IDF.</p> <p>Consider updating the Probable Maximum Precipitation estimates in the EIS using the values from this analysis.</p> <p>The 1-30 day IDF results were last produced Jan 27, 2016. Currently the results for Schefferville A (climate ID 7117825) based on total precipitation, are based on the years 1948 to 2010. It may be possible to get more updated results by joining more recent station records, as a custom request to the Engineering Climate Services Unit at ec.scg-ecs.ec@canada.ca.</p>
ECCC-19-MS-07	<p>Ch 6: Effects of the Environment on the Project, 6.3 Environmental Effects</p>	<p>Snowfall data are not available at Schefferville after 1993. As noted in MSC-1 of Annex 1, trends have been observed in temperature, precipitation, and snow cover.</p>	<p>Explore research results about snowfall/snow cover changes, and whether it is possible to adjust older snowfall averages (and the proportion of total precipitation falling as snow) for the most recent 30-year period. The province of Québec's Ministère de l'Environnement et de la Lutte contre les</p>

	<p>Analysis and Mitigation, 6.3.1.1 Existing Environment;</p> <p>Ch 10:</p> <p>Atmospheric Environment and Climate, 10.5 Climate, 10.8 Accidents and Malfunctions;</p> <p>Ch. 11: Water Resources, 11.5.2.2 Data Analysis, 11.5.3.1 Climate (including p.11-34)</p>	<p>This may make averages based on older snowfall data alone unrepresentative of current conditions.</p>	<p>changements climatiques (MELCC) may be able to provide additional information through provincial networks not available on the ECCC climate website. Questions can be addressed to : Info-Climat@environnement.gouv.qc.ca.</p>
--	---	--	---