

<personal information removed>

Dear Courtney Trevis,

I am very concerned about the proposed Site C dam project in the fertile Peace River Valley. The Joint Review Panel is moving to the public hearing phase of the review. With few hearings scheduled close to Christmas and only in north-eastern B.C., I am concerned about limits to public participation. Since Site C will affect all British Columbians, hearings should be held across B.C. including Victoria and Vancouver.

Site C would flood more than 52 square kilometres of agricultural land, including B.C.'s only prime farmland north of Quesnel. Family farms would be under water, and farmers would be forced to leave their land against their wishes. The losses of boreal forest, biodiversity and ecosystem services and functions including carbon sequestration in addition to farmland are unacceptable risks that cannot be mitigated.

Site C would severely impact First Nations, flooding 78 First Nations heritage sites.

The proposed dam would eradicate wetlands that support migratory bird flocks and negatively impact at-risk species such as grizzly bear and wolverine. The loss of important wildlife corridors would contribute to genetic isolation and the potential loss of species.

Site C electricity is not needed for domestic consumption. Residential and commercial customers in B.C. have actually reduced their power consumption since 2008. The cost of Site C Dam to B.C. ratepayers and to our environment is simply too high.

Sincerely,  
John Connolly

This message was sent by a concerned citizen using Stopsitec.org  
If you wish to no longer receive messages from this site please contact [info@stopsitec.org](mailto:info@stopsitec.org) and we will remove you from the site contact tool.

<personal information removed>

Dear Courtney Trevis,

I am very concerned about the proposed Site C dam project in the fertile Peace River Valley.

The proposed project would have wide-ranging environmental, social and financial impacts both for the communities of the Peace River Valley and for all British Columbians.

Site C would flood more than 52 square kilometres of agricultural land, including B.C.'s only prime farmland north of Quesnel. Family farms that have been passed down for generations would be under water, and farmers would be forced to leave their productive land against their wishes. The loss of agricultural land combined with the losses of boreal forest, biodiversity and ecosystem services and functions including carbon sequestration are unacceptable risks that cannot be mitigated.

Site C would severely impact First Nations, flooding 78 First Nations heritage sites, including burial grounds and places of cultural and spiritual significance.

The proposed dam would eradicate wetlands that support migratory bird flocks and negatively impact at-risk species such as grizzly bear and wolverine. The loss of important wildlife corridors would contribute to genetic isolation and the potential loss of species.

Site C electricity is not needed for domestic consumption. Residential and commercial customers in B.C. have actually reduced their power consumption since 2008. The cost of Site C Dam to B.C. ratepayers and to our environment is simply too high.

Sincerely,  
Jackie MacDonald

This message was sent by a concerned citizen using Stopsitec.org  
If you wish to no longer receive messages from this site please contact [info@stopsitec.org](mailto:info@stopsitec.org) and we will remove you from the site contact tool.

<personal information removed>

Dear Courtney Trevis,

I am very concerned about the proposed Site C dam project in the fertile Peace River Valley.

The proposed project would have wide-ranging environmental, social and financial impacts both for the communities of the Peace River Valley and for all British Columbians.

Site C would flood more than 52 square kilometres of agricultural land, including B.C.'s only prime farmland north of Quesnel. Family farms that have been passed down for generations would be under water, and farmers would be forced to leave their productive land against their wishes. The loss of agricultural land combined with the losses of boreal forest, biodiversity and ecosystem services and functions including carbon sequestration are unacceptable risks that cannot be mitigated.

Site C would severely impact First Nations, flooding 78 First Nations heritage sites, including burial grounds and places of cultural and spiritual significance.

The proposed dam would eradicate wetlands that support migratory bird flocks and negatively impact at-risk species such as grizzly bear and wolverine. The loss of important wildlife corridors would contribute to genetic isolation and the potential loss of species.

Site C electricity is not needed for domestic consumption. Residential and commercial customers in B.C. have actually reduced their power consumption since 2008. The cost of Site C Dam to B.C. ratepayers and to our environment is simply too high.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Brown

This message was sent by a concerned citizen using Stopsitec.org  
If you wish to no longer receive messages from this site please contact [info@stopsitec.org](mailto:info@stopsitec.org) and we will remove you from the site contact tool.

<personal information removed>

Dear Courtney Trevis,

The proposed Site C dam would have wide-ranging environmental, social and financial impacts both for the communities of the Peace River Valley and for all British Columbians.

Site C would flood more than 52 square kilometres of agricultural land, including B.C.'s only prime farmland north of Quesnel. Family farms would be under water, and farmers would be forced to leave their productive land against their wishes. The loss of agricultural land combined with the losses of boreal forest, biodiversity and ecosystem services cannot be mitigated. Furthermore, functions like carbon sequestration would be lost.

Site C would severely impact First Nations, flooding 78 First Nations heritage sites, including burial grounds and places of cultural and spiritual significance.

The proposed dam would eradicate wetlands that support migratory bird flocks and negatively impact at-risk species such as grizzly bear and wolverine. The loss of important wildlife corridors would contribute to genetic isolation and the potential loss of species.

Site C electricity is not needed for domestic consumption. Residential and commercial customers in B.C. have actually reduced their power consumption since 2008. The cost of Site C Dam to B.C. ratepayers and to our environment is simply too high.

I urge you not to accept this proposal

Sincerely,  
Patrick Brown

This message was sent by a concerned citizen using Stopsitec.org  
If you wish to no longer receive messages from this site please contact [info@stopsitec.org](mailto:info@stopsitec.org) and we will remove you from the site contact tool.

<personal information removed>

Dear Courtney Trevis,

The proposed Site C dam project must not be approved.

It would have wide-ranging environmental, social and financial impacts both for the communities of the Peace River Valley and for all British Columbians.

Site C would flood more than 52 square kilometres of agricultural land, including B.C.'s only prime farmland north of Quesnel. Family farms that have been passed down for generations would be under water, and farmers would be forced to leave their productive land. The loss of agricultural land combined with the losses of boreal forest, biodiversity and ecosystem services and functions including carbon sequestration are unacceptable. Also, these risks cannot be mitigated.

Site C would severely impact First Nations, flooding 78 First Nations heritage sites, including burial grounds and places of cultural and spiritual significance.

The proposed dam would eradicate wetlands that support migratory bird flocks and negatively impact at-risk species such as grizzly bear and wolverine. The loss of important wildlife corridors would contribute to genetic isolation and the potential loss of species.

Site C electricity is not needed for domestic consumption. Residential and commercial customers in B.C. have actually reduced their power consumption since 2008. The cost of Site C Dam to B.C. ratepayers and to our environment is simply too high.

Sincerely,  
John Connolly

This message was sent by a concerned citizen using Stopsitec.org  
If you wish to no longer receive messages from this site please contact [info@stopsitec.org](mailto:info@stopsitec.org) and we will remove you from the site contact tool.

<personal information removed>

Dear Courtney Trevis,

The proposed Site C dam project would flood more than 100 kilometres of river valley, choking off North America's longest wildlife corridor at its narrowest point and washing away prime agricultural land, family farms and first Nations' heritage sites.

The energy from this dam would be used to power dirty fossil fuel industries such as fracking.

The proposed dam would eradicate wetlands that support migratory bird flocks and negatively impact at-risk species such as grizzly bear and wolverine.

Site C electricity is not needed for domestic consumption. Residential and commercial customers in B.C. have actually reduced their power consumption since 2008. The cost of Site C Dam to B.C. ratepayers and to our environment is simply too high. Furthermore, B.C. Hydro is already deeply in debt.

Do not approve this proposal as it is not in the best interests of the land, the wildlife and the citizens of B.C.

Sincerely,  
Gordon Weese

This message was sent by a concerned citizen using [Stopsitec.org](http://Stopsitec.org)  
If you wish to no longer receive messages from this site please contact [info@stopsitec.org](mailto:info@stopsitec.org) and we will remove you from the site contact tool.