

From: David Parker [mailto:<email address removed>

Sent: October 2, 2012 5:58 PM

To: Shell.Reviews [CEAA]

Cc: 'Victor Dorian' <email address removed> grahamksaul <email address removed> Mike Hudema <email address removed> Mike Donnelly <email address removed> Susan Stratton <email address removed> Janet Keeping <email address removed> Larry Ashmore <email address removed>

Subject: CEAR, Information Request

Dear Review Panel,

I am writing to express my profound opposition to any further expansion of the Tar-Sands of Athabasca. There must be some conditions placed on any proponent before any further developments are allowed:

1. Remediation – a complete plan to put the affected ecology around the project back to it's original state.
2. Upgrading – all bitumen mined should be refined here in Canada to create long term employment for Canadians.
3. Pipelines – no pipelines must be required, or tanker docking, on endangered or sensitive ecological terrain. The consequences are unthinkable.
4. Tailing ponds – a viable plan must be in place to recover toxic waste (or safely dispose of it) or preferably not create it in the first place.
5. Water contamination – there must be NO INCREASE in toxic loading of any existing waterway.
6. Funding – all the previous recommendations must be fully secured by a bond supplied by the proponent before any land is touched.
7. First Nations – must be consulted and their concerns fully addressed, regardless of how long this takes.
8. Subsidies - any future project must be completely self-supporting and require no public financing whatsoever.
9. Wildlife – there must be a viable and fully funded plan in place to ensure ZERO further loss of bio-diversity in the Athabasca drainage system.
10. Cumulative Impacts – must be fully comprehended, mitigated and the required studies funded by the proponent.
11. Economics – the increasing disparities between regions must be investigated such that ALL parts of Canada benefit equally.
12. Climate Change – any new project must NOT adversely affect the Canadian commitments made in Copenhagen in 2009. Project should have a complete CCS or Cap-and-Trade component funded by the proponent.
13. Government involvement – this appears to be non-existent so that is why all proposals implemented by the proponent. Also, government is fiscally constrained now and into the foreseeable future.
14. Royalties – should reflect the extent of the ecological damage and the nature of these non-renewable resources. They should be invested in future prosperity for generations not yet born.

Your Sincerely,

All truth passes through three stages.

First, it is ridiculed. Second, it is violently opposed. Third, it is accepted as being self-evident.

Arthur Schopenhauer

David J. Parker. P.Eng

NAIT Instrumentation Engineering Technology

<personal information removed>