

From: Cynthia Bertolin <email address removed>
Sent: November 14, 2013 1:00 PM
To: Shell.Reviews [CEAA]
Cc: Dunn,Carolyn [CEAA]; 'Jumbo Fraser'; Ora Campbell
Subject: Ft Chip Metis (FCM) participation at Shell Pierre River Hearing- are our participation requirements complete

Good Morning Ms. Adams
Panel Manager Shell Pierre River

Carolyn Dunn and I have been communicating. I have embedded some of the email communications as it pertains to FCM question to the Panel. Carolyn has stated that as far as Canada is concerned, FCM are full participants at the Shell Pierre River CEAA process and hearing. See her emails below.

From: Dunn,Carolyn [CEAA] [mailto:Carolyn.Dunn@ceaa-acee.gc.ca]
Sent: November 13, 2013 1:39 PM
To: Cynthia Bertolin
Cc: Jumbo Fraser; Ora Campbell
Subject: RE: SOC or Objection on Shell Pierre River

Hi Cynthia,

I've sent an e-mail to my participant funding colleagues about the funding.

I have no role in what determinations Alberta makes about standing. The Pierre River Panel is a joint review panel and as you have alluded to they don't use the same tests as Alberta. However, I am not sure what test the Pierre River Panel will be using and I ask that you e-mail the Panel directly to ask them. They can be reached at: Shell.Reviews [CEAA] Shell.Reviews@ceaa-acee.gc.ca and the Panel Manager is Jill Adams.

Cheers,

Carolyn

Crown Consultation Coordinator

From: Dunn,Carolyn [CEAA] [mailto:Carolyn.Dunn@ceaa-acee.gc.ca]
Sent: November 13, 2013 1:05 PM
To: Cynthia Bertolin
Cc: Jumbo Fraser; Ora Campbell
Subject: RE: SOC or Objection on Shell Pierre River

Hi Cynthia,

On the federal side of things yes Ft Chip Métis is welcome to participate in Pierre River.

Cheers,

Carolyn

Crown Consultation Coordinator

THE QUESTION WE ASKED CAROLYN - THAT HAS BEEN RE-DIRECTED TO YOU IN YOUR CAPACITY AS PANEL MANAGER- SEE BELOW.

You alluded to a comment in your email that as far as Canada was concerned , “FCM are in” or put another way...”have standing to participate at the Pierre River Hearing”.

Our QUESTION: Are you aware of any other ‘bars’ or ‘requirements’ that FCM have to jump over to get “standing at the Shell Pierre River Hearing”.

Ms. Adams:

It’s complicated but we are asking because at the pre-hearing stage of the Teck Frontier Resources JRP, Alberta sent FCM a letter asking FCM to:

1. prove that they are directly and adversely affected by the project
2. who FCM represent and the #s
3. they requested a membership list
4. how specifically they are affected
5. what rights FCM are asserting

Alberta AESRD tried to strike out FCMs SOC/Objection on the Teck Frontier Resources Application using the Alberta Regulator's test of ‘Directly and adversely affected’ versus the test set out in the CEAA Joint Panel agreement b/w Alberta and Canada. This was after the JRP terms of reference had already been crafted.

Because of our experience with Alberta on the above noted Teck file, FCM are writing to confirm that they have met all the criteria for full participation at the Shell Pierre River Application Hearing.

We look forward to your reply. Please do not hesitate to contact the writer.

Enjoy your day.

<original signed by>

Cynthia Bertolin

President, Sunrope Services Ltd.

<phone number removed>

<DOCUMENT APPROVED FOR RELEASE>

****PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE****

The contents of this message may contain confidential and/or privileged subject matter. If this message has been received in error, please contact the sender and delete all copies. Like other forms of communication, e-mail communications may be vulnerable to interception by unauthorized parties. If you do not wish us to communicate with you by e-mail, please notify us at your earliest convenience. In the absence of such notification, your consent is assumed. Should you choose to allow us to communicate by e-mail, we will not take any additional security measures (such as encryption) unless specifically requested.