

**Subject:** FW: \*\*\*\*\*SPAM\*\*\*\*\* Further Questions still unanswered

---

**From:** sigfried Reuter <email address removed>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 29, 2010 12:23 PM

**To:** Prosperity Review [CEAA]

**Cc:** Ken Vanderburgh; Rodger Stewart; Marilyn Baptiste

**Subject:** \*\*\*\*\*SPAM\*\*\*\*\* Further Questions still unanswered

Can Taseko truly say knowing of us here at the Taseko Lodge that their mine over us will maintain or improve the peoples well-being?

Can Taseko thus claim the economy of the community & beyond will be better off as a result?

Can Taseko ensure the integrity of the environment over the short term let alone over the long term when their main concern is to get out as much as they can from the ore body without going the extra mile or letting go of extra money to ensure local community traditional and non market activities are accounted for in ways that are acceptable to local peoples including the traditional use by First Nations and historic lodge & wilderness businesses?

How will Taseko address the operational consequences of annihilating our health and business? What are their rules, incentives, programs & capacities to address their projects & operational consequences?

These considerations come from Taseko's own Prosperity EIS p139. I already see serious shortfalls and flaws as you can well imagine.

Except for their pat answers in EIS and BC's certification no where were we ever considered or mentioned by mining company or BC government.

In fact from the recent responses to our questions Taseko continues to dismiss any responsibility or right action. Is this what citizens of BC/Canada can expect from industry /government approved plans... that basically no matter what you are doing or have been doing is of little value or consideration unless you

are bigger because government has the power to roll us over, disregard our tenures, and does not care to assist in proper and fair treatment of humanbeings? Sounds like some other place on earth!! Not Canada!!

Kelly Reuter

**Date:** April 29, 2010 8:58:46 AM PDT (CA)  
**To:** "Prosperity Review [CEAA]" <[prosperity.review@ceaa-acee.gc.ca](mailto:prosperity.review@ceaa-acee.gc.ca)>  
**Cc:** Marilyn Baptiste <[chief@xenigwetin.com](mailto:chief@xenigwetin.com)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Campsites & More Access

Re sending

**Date:** April 29, 2010 8:56:15 AM PDT (CA)  
**To:** "Prosperity Review [CEAA]" <[prosperity.review@ceaa-acee.gc.ca](mailto:prosperity.review@ceaa-acee.gc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Campsites & More Access

Dear Panel,

I have a question for you. Who's mandate is social & economic accountability for this proposed mine? If it is not the miners as they have suggested and avoided and buried beneath all the paperwork, who is it? Taseko Mines seems to make suggestions for accountability to public loss of navigable water access and campsites in Transport Canada's presentation yet when it comes to addressing their impacts to our wilderness tourism business & lodge they have no idea what it takes to operate such a business.

On top of this they are obviously overlooking the fact that our community based plan does not include opening up more roads and un-managed campsites ie forestry or UREPs. Open up some new roads, open up the whole area to more mining!!! These suggestions reek of overlap and Taseko is way out of bounds!! Total systematic, devaluing, annihilation of our wilderness business.

Please I need an answer.

Kelly Reuter

Taseko Lake Outfitters  
PO Box 4232  
Williams Lake BC,  
V2G2V3

Cell (250)305-6368

Lodge (604)484-5174

[www.tasekolake.com](http://www.tasekolake.com)