

Subject: FW: *****SPAM***** Comments: Zero Social & Economic Accountability for local people

Attachments: Response to Undertaking U-21.pdf; ATT1367829.htm; Response to Taseko Mines Answers.pages.zip; ATT1367830.htm

From: sigfried Reuter <email address removed>

Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 2:48 PM

To: Prosperity Review [CEAA]

Subject: *****SPAM***** Comments: Zero Social & Economic Accountability for local people

Good day Panel,

As this is the day for social economic concerns and presentations, along with the letter and attachments below for our concerns & comments in regards to the proposed mine I would like to add a few further thoughts.

As already noted along with Taseko Mines complete misunderstanding of our needs for healthy and sustainable living here at the lodge & their admitted lack of knowledge as to what is required to operate a wilderness lodge is their continued resistance to address their negative overlaps of our tenured work areas.

The footprint of the proposed mine plan including the natural grass meadows south east of the Fish Lake is some of the best moose habitat in the area. This area is covered under Sig's guide outfitter certificate & license. The same area is within our tenured commercial recreation or GAT (guided adventure tourism) tenure as we have mentioned repeatedly but without an honest response from Taseko Mines in regards to the obvious destruction of the area and our resulting loss of work area, trails, grazing and lodge based routes.

Taseko has thrown in a token for us as to their mitigation of the completely flooded grasslands and suggested that they would be working with Forestry and ourselves to ensure access and boundaries where we will be completely excluded from the use of the land! No hunting, no horseback riding, no hiking, no camping, no sightseeing, no wildlife viewing, no grazing. The loss of possible opportunities and revenues here is quite substantial as we have already presented in relation to our lodge based activities.

Continuously we see government concerned over Crown loss & mitigation or the socio-economic impacts to the mining company itself!! We are completely at odds as to get this message heard and addressed as we seem to have zero representation here in regards to real and negative impacts. Taseko and government have placed some pretty nice safety nets around themselves...CCLUP, special management zones, (we are or were? in the TMZ- Taseko special management zone), the very governing bodies holding our tenures are mute! We can only imagine the worst for our lives and sustainable wilderness business.

Thank you for your continued patience.

Sincerely,

Kelly Reuter

Date: April 28, 2010 8:29:48 PM PDT (CA)

To: "Prosperity Review [CEAA]" <prosperity.review@ceaa-acee.gc.ca>

Subject: **Zero Social & Economic Accountability for local people**

Dear Colette,

Thank you for offering the opportunity to present at the end of the topic specific hearing. After having received the responses from Taseko Mines Ltd. for U21 it was obvious we would not be getting any sincere or amicable communication with Taseko Mines during this hearing process; possibly ever.

Clearly Taseko Mines from their responses has absolutely no regard for their impacts nor considerations for how they will impact our lives & our business. Further by their own statements they have also pointed out **they have no idea what it takes to run a wilderness business** and so accordingly have not taken into account (EIS) that their impacts will so negatively impact us here beneath the mine that we will be forced to walk away.

Their continued stance on their error filled EIS remains to be zero social and economic accountability for local people including health and safety issues, disregard for proper overlap consultation, mitigation & compensations, and no environmental responsibility. Their certificate does not give them the right to our health & livelihood. They are already negatively sustainable!!

In order to put this response to any use we will be asking that the Panel consider the communication below as our topic specific presentation & post with documents and allow some one else to use this time if they are able.

We will prepare our correspondence for the closing remarks and see you on Monday morning May 3,2010.

Sincerely,
Kelly Reuter