Subject: Request that Meeting Summary from December 2010 be Posted

**From:** Harpell, Heather [mailto:Heather.Harpell@cnsc-ccsn.gc.ca]

**Sent:** April 6, 2011 2:57 PM

To: 'Northwatch'

**Cc:** Virtue, Robyn-Lynne [CEAA]

Subject: RE: Request that Meeting Summary from December 2010 be Posted

### Hi Brennain,

It was an update; a snapshot in time where no problems or issues were identified. The information was in the presentation. There is no meeting summary.

#### Heather

From: Northwatch [mailto:northwatch@onlink.net] Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2011 11:52 AM

To: Harpell, Heather

Cc: 'Virtue, Robyn-Lynne [CEAA]'

Subject: RE: Request that Meeting Summary from December 2010 be Posted

Quite right, Heather; I meant the November 10th, 2010 meeting. My apologies for the month mix-up.

I'm not quite sure what you mean, that it was "just an update". No questions asked? No answers provided? Was there no meeting summary? Could you please clarify?

Thank you,

Brennain

# At 11:38 AM 4/6/2011, Harpell, Heather wrote:

Hi Ms. Lloyd,

I think you must mean the Nov 10, 2010 meeting? It was just an update - there were no conclusions/discussions.

Just a note that I currently have conduct of this file so please send future correspondence to me or EA@cnsc-ccsn.gc.ca.

## Sincerely,

### Heather Harpell

Environmental Assessment Specialist / Spécialiste en évaluation environnementale Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission / Commission canadienne de sûreté nucléaire Government of Canada / Gouvernement du Canada 280 Slater Street PO Box 1046, Station B Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5S9

Telephone / Téléphone: 613-943-9920 Facsimile / Télécopieur: 613-995-5086

Heather.Harpell@cnsc-ccsn.gc.ca

From: Northwatch [<u>mailto:northwatch@onlink.net</u>]

**Sent:** Monday, April 04, 2011 11:36 AM **To:** Kiza.Francis@cnsc-ccsn.gc.ca] **Cc:** DGR Review / Examen DFGP [CEAA]

Subject: Request that Meeting Summary from December 2010 be Posted

Dear Ms. Francis

I am writing to request that a summary of the meeting between Ontario Power Generation, Nuclear Waste Management Organization, Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission and the Canadian Nuclear Safety

Commission on 10 December 2010 with respect to the proposed Deep Geological Repository for low and intermediate level radioactive wastes be placed on the public registry established for the federal review of this project.

We have reviewed the agenda and the ppt presentation - both of which are on the registry - and consider that the discussion, conclusions and any action items generated by this meeting should be a matter of public record.

As you know, we have raised concerns in the past about similar meeting reports not being posted on the public registry. My understanding, based on your email of 30 August 2010 was that "all parties now understand that meeting notices/agendas/ presentations/ reports/letters must be on the registry."

Thank you for your attention and assistance.

Regards,

Brennain Lloyd Northwatch

PPT overview of project status, as of December 2010 <a href="http://www.ceaa.gc.ca/050/documents/47124/47124E.pdf">http://www.ceaa.gc.ca/050/documents/47124/47124E.pdf</a>

Northwatch Box 282, North Bay P1B 8H2

tel 705 497 0373 fax 476 7060 northwatch@onlink.net <u>www.northwatch.org</u>

Northwatch Box 282, North Bay P1B 8H2

tel 705 497 0373 fax 476 7060 northwatch@onlink.net <u>www.northwatch.org</u>