

De : lagran <address removed>

Envoyé : 12 octobre 2008 15:53

À : comments@suffieldreview.ca

Cc : <removed>

Objet : Government Tribunal or Company Agent??

I believe the rights of both Albertans and Canadians were violated when the Chairman of the then Alberta EUB, signed for Albertans, a Federal/Provincial agreement, allowing the EUB as a partner with Canada {signed by the Minister Of the Environment} to review drilling on the NWA situated on the Suffield range!! The caption below, taken from EnCana's supplied material is not at all accurate.

The Minister of Energy in Alberta regulates the oil and gas sector pursuant to the [1] Alberta Energy and Utilities Board Act [2] The Oil and Gas Conservation Act and Regulations {3} The Pipeline Act and Regulations [4] The Energy Resources Conservation Act. The caption below simple ignores the fact it is indeed **Alberta Energy, not the AEUB that controls Crown Mineral Rights**, through of course the **Alberta Minister of Energy!!**

The MOU between Canada/Alberta points to indeed "Deputy Ministers" both Federal and Provincial as the parties to receive notice!! Lets not overlook the fact it was indeed the elected Minister of the Environment that indeed did **sign the joint agreement for Canada???** It has not gone unnoticed by many that the ERCB have become very ambitious and foreword in their efforts to completely dominate the NWA Environmental review on Suffield.

One must simply undertake a cursory review of their involvement at the start of these proceedings, to the present, to understand how successful has been their attempt at a complete take-over!! Because of the manner of satisfying the ERCB yearly budget requirements many folks tend to overlook the fact the ERCB are indeed an Alberta Tribunal who only receive the power they administrate from again **the Alberta Minister of Energy!!**

One must examine why oh why, would CEAA have the Canadian Minister of Environment sign a agreement with respect to the Environment Review and expect Albertans to be satisfied with a chairman of the then AEUB as a signatory for Alberta?? Does this seem right or indeed even reasonable?? How troubling it is to not have Alberta Energy involved, since they indeed collect the due royalties that flow from this project!! Many are shocked at drilling wells for profit at a density of 16 per section in a cluster and setting Alberta Royalties on a single well basis!!

The basic presumption that the now ERCB are the sole body with statutory responsibilities with respect to oil and natural gas regulations and the above mentioned Acts, I must surely be argued??

Powers to both Alberta Energy and the now ERCB flow directly from the Minister of Energy!! As I have stated from the beginning, it indeed should have been the **Minister of Energy** who should rightfully sign for Albertan's to allow the type of Public expenditure expected from the Alberta public on a Federal/Provincial matter!! One must also recognize the agreement to proceed as a Joint Review took place before the then EUB were found in an "Apprehension of bias", and using undercover agents against members of the Alberta public!! Would Mr. McCrank have been fired for allowing the type of degradation that was event at the then EUB had he still been an employee?? Would items signed by the then chairman of the EUB been reviewed for their correctness due to the type of discoveries involved in an admitted "apprehension of bias"??? I ask that the Alberta Minister of Energy be asked to now sign the Joint Review Agreement for Albertans, prior to going further with this review!!

Stewart Shields
<address removed>

However, the AEUB does have a regulatory interest in oil and gas development at CFB Suffield, as Alberta retains the Crown mineral rights. Public issues and concerns raised during the federal environmental assessment of the project may have direct relevance to the AEUB regulatory process. The AEUB will participate in the federal environmental assessment process pursuant Appendix 3 of the Agreement. Additionally, the Wildlife Management Branch of ASRD has also expressed an interest to participate in the assessment.

Overview of the Environmental