

De : lagran

Envoyé : 26 octobre 2008 19:02

À : Therrien,Marie-France [CEAA]; comments@suffieldreview.ca

Cc : <removed>

Objet : Deny,Delay,Deter,Deflect!!

I must salute the Alberta ERCB for their abilities to hold a complete Federal Environmental Hearing with Canada wide implications, without public input or proper press coverage of the events! The use of Govier Hall contained within the ERCB building in Calgary afforded the general public or the public press little opportunity to take an active part in the hearing! The informal sections held were a real joke! Evidence is evidence, regardless of it's gathering by formal or informal setting. An informal setting simply allows a kangaroo court to exist, where the panel chairman may hinder admissible evidence from coming foreword. Something the chairperson a formal setting would think twice about!!

I was unable to locate any worthwhile information from the proceedings in the daily press, something I had warned about, with respect to using the ERCB's Govier Hall as a venue for this important federal hearing. I indeed hold CEAA totally at fault for trying to "Deny,Delay,Deter,Defect" all that was possible, with respect to this Federal Hearing. Never have I seen a Federal Environmental Review that will cost the Canadian public billions in lost royalties and lease, pipeline, and road use rentals kept as secret as this. EnCana and the ERCB would be happy few public members were in attendance to view images of EnCana's environmental stewardship or hear their refusal to co-operate with our armed forces in protecting Suffield!! What was evident from the start is EnCana's desire to diminish the power of the Base-Commander, and their "operational nightmares"kept from the general public through the use of the ERCB and their "Den of Darkness" called Govier-Hall .

What indeed happened to the 3-well drilling application tacked on to this Federal Hearing? What parts of the information gathered dealt with specifically license # 1435831? Does this panel feel that adequate information was provided for it to make a determination with full knowledge the "Grandfather Clause" with respect to lease, road, pipeline payments were left unmentioned, for the benefits of Federal tax-payers, and Royalties rules for Alberta's public was also missing? Although allowed as temporary ERCB members [something I will always hold against them] do the two federal panel members actually find their Curriculum Vitae would see them as expert enough to decide a drilling license application? Come on!! --- For posting

Stewart Shields

<contact information removed>