

De : lagran <address removed>
Envoyé : 28 octobre 2008 17:16
À : comments@suffieldreview.ca
Cc : <address removed>

Objet : # 620---or --003b-004

I would like to make reference to CEAA remarks contained within public registry# 861 {page 9} and enter as exhibit # 003b-004. Firstly with respect to the privacy act, I will challenge that anything I had advanced to CEAA violated either the Canadian or the Alberta Privacy laws!! I will repeat that I am the very same Stewart Shields that took the Alberta Commissioner to Queen's Bench on a decision he rendered with respect to AEUB conduct toward myself and had the Commissioner's ruling quashed!! I indeed do have experience with both the privacy laws and the AEUB as shown by **Shields V. information and Privacy Commissioner,2004 ABQB 353!!**

Further when Federal members nominated to the Federal Environmental Review agreed to become temporary ERCB[AUB] members and use the ERCB "rules of practice" as a direction in the hearing, hold the hearing in the ERCB controlled Govier Hall with a former chairman of the ERCB on the Panel member and Counsel for the Panel a ERCB a ERCB employee, what the he- is **JOINT** about anything here?---**who indeed is CEAA, and who indeed is the ERCB, with respect to the EnCana, Suffield Environmental Review? When would one know if he was communicating to a independent officer of a Federal Environmental Review or a member of the troubled ERCB??** When Marie-France Therrien responded to questions posed about comments to be posted---I quote---"**thank you for your submission. your letter has been forwarded to The Joint Review Panel for their review and should soon be posted on the project public registry**". Please compare this to what I was told in public register # 620 that became exhibit # 003b-004. Was this Joint Review Panel not temporary ERCB members? Making themselves and others in complete violation of **appendix 2,s 3.4. with respect to license # 1435831 advanced by the same ERCB and questioned by many of the public? When are they [The Panel] ERCB members and when are they CEAA panel members?**

The process used in this hearing, was troubling to many from the start, as letters to the chairman will verify. I personally became very concerned when the EUB member became involved in a **apprehension of admitted bias**, and other undercover activities and the panel Chairman seemed unable to deal with the EUB members removal from the deciding panel!!

The Canada--Alberta agreement, appendix 2,S 3.4, stated clearly ---The joint panel members shall be unbiased and free any conflict of interest relative to the project and have knowledge or experience relative to the environmental affects of the project. How was it impossible for all on the Federal side, to remain **Dumb**,when there was an admission of **Bias** by the then EUB?? This of course includes the Federal Minister of Environment!! And why was nothing done when it was pointed out the Counsel for the panel was indeed in conflict when dealing with license application # 1435831 advanced and added to this hearing by the Counsel's employer the troubled ERCB?? Which of the two infractions of obvious CEAA rules infractions is the more serious is arguable, with respect to appendix 2,s 3.4!! Then we have a former ERCB chairman allowed to act one of three panel members, paid entirely by the ERCB, sitting in a decision role while dealing with those who oppose the said silence advanced by those that underwrite his attendance?? Go figure!! For posting!

Stewart Shields
<address and contact information removed>